

Mathematics

On the Estimation of the Odds-Ratio Based on Kernel Estimates of the Regression Function

Petre Babilua*, Elizbar Nadaraya**, Mzevinar Patsatsia§,
Zaza Khechinashvili*

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

**Academy Member, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

§Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Sokhumi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

ABSTRACT. In the paper, the estimate of an odds-ratio based on the kernel estimate of the regression function is constructed. The consistency, asymptotic normality and uniform convergence of the constructed estimate are proved. © 2017 Bull. Georg. Natl. Acad. Sci.

Key words: odds-ratio, consistency, limit distribution, kernel estimate, uniform convergence

Let random variables $Y^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2$, take two values 1 and 0 with probabilities p_i (“success”) and $1 - p_i$ (“failure”), $i = 1, 2$, respectively. Let us assume that the probability of “success” p_i is a function of the independent variable $t \in [0, 1]$, that is, $p_i = p_i(t) = P\{Y^{(i)} = 1 \mid t\}$ (see. [1], [2]). Let t_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, be the points of division of the interval $[0, 1]$: $t_i = \frac{2i-1}{2n}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let, further, $Y_i^{(1)}$ and $Y_i^{(2)}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, – are mutually independent Bernoulli distributed random variables with $P\{Y_i^{(k)} = 1 \mid t_i\} = p_k(t_i)$, $P\{Y_i^{(k)} = 0 \mid t_i\} = 1 - p_k(t_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $k = 1, 2$. The task is to estimate the function
$$r(x) = \frac{p_1(x)}{(1-p_1(x))} \frac{(1-p_2(x))}{p_2(x)}$$
, which is called an *odds-ratio*. Such task may arise, for example, in medicine, biology ([1, 2]).

As an estimate of ${}_{\ast}r(x)$, let consider the following statistics

$$\hat{{}_{\ast}r}_n(x) = \frac{\hat{p}_{1n}(x)}{(1 - \hat{p}_{1n}(x))} \frac{(1 - \hat{p}_{2n}(x))}{\hat{p}_{2n}(x)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{p}_{in}(x) &= p_{in}(x) p_n^{-1}(x), \quad i = 1, 2, \\ p_{in}(x) &= \frac{1}{nb_n} \sum_{j=1}^n K\left(\frac{x-t_j}{b_n}\right) Y_j^{(i)}, \\ p_n(x) &= \frac{1}{nb_n} \sum_{j=1}^n K\left(\frac{x-t_j}{b_n}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $K(x)$ – certain distribution density (kernel), $\{b_n\}$ – sequence of positive numbers, converging to zero. It is clear that $0 \leq \hat{p}_{in}(x) \leq 1$. Certain features of estimate $\hat{p}_{in}(x)$ were studied in [3, 5].

Suppose, that the kernel $K(x)$ was chosen so that to be a function of bounded variation and satisfy the conditions $K(x) = K(-x)$, $K(x) = 0$ when $|x| \geq \dagger > 0$, $\int K(x) dx = 1$. The class of such functions will be denoted by $H(\dagger)$.

Lemma 1 ([5]). Let $K(x) \in H(\dagger)$ and $p_i(x)$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$, $i = 1, 2$, is a function with the bounded variation. If $nb_n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{nb_n} \sum_{i=1}^n K^{\epsilon_1}\left(\frac{x-t_i}{b_n}\right) K^{\epsilon_2}\left(\frac{y-t_i}{b_n}\right) p_k^{\epsilon_3}(t_i) = \\ &= \frac{1}{b_n} \int_0^1 K^{\epsilon_1}\left(\frac{x-u}{b_n}\right) K^{\epsilon_2}\left(\frac{y-u}{b_n}\right) p_k^{\epsilon_3}(u) du + O\left(\frac{1}{nb_n}\right), \quad k = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

evenly by $x, y \in [0, 1]$, where $\epsilon_i \in N \cup \{0\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Theorem 1. Let $K(x)$ and $p_i(x)$, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy the conditions of the Lemma 1. If $nb_n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\hat{{}_{\ast}r}_n(x)$ is a consistent estimate of ${}_{\ast}r(x)$, in points $x \in [0, 1]$ of continuity $p_i(x)$ and $0 < p_i(x) < 1$, $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. From Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ep_{1n}(x) &= \int_{(x-1)b_n^{-1}}^{x/b_n} K(t) p_1(x - b_n t) dt + O\left(\frac{1}{nb_n}\right), \\ p_n(x) &= \frac{1}{b_n} \int_0^1 K\left(\frac{x-u}{b_n}\right) du + O\left(\frac{1}{nb_n}\right), \end{aligned}$$

at that

$$\frac{1}{b_n} \int_0^1 K\left(\frac{x-u}{b_n}\right) du \rightarrow u(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in (0,1), \\ \frac{1}{2}, & x=0, \quad x=1, \end{cases}$$

$$\int_{(x-1)b_n^{-1}}^{xb_n^{-1}} K(t) p(x-b_n t) dt \rightarrow p(x)u(x).$$

Hence, it follows that $E\hat{p}_{in}(x) \rightarrow p_i(x)$, $x \in [0,1]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Analogously,

$$nb_n \text{Var } \hat{p}_{in}(x) \sim \dagger_i^2(x) = p_i(x)(1-p_i(x)) \int K^2(u) du.$$

Hence, $\hat{p}_{in}(x)$ is a consistent estimate of $p_i(x)$.

Theorem 2. Let $K(x)$ and $p_i(x)$, $i=1,2$, satisfy the conditions of Lemma 1. Suppose x_1, \dots, x_s , $s \geq 1$, are distinct points and $0 < p_i(x_j) < 1$ for $j=1, \dots, s$, $i=1,2$. Let $p_i'(x)$ and $p_i''(x)$ exist and are bounded. If $nb_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $nb_n^5 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $(nb_n)^{1/2} (\hat{p}_{in}(x_1) - p_i(x_1), \dots, \hat{p}_{in}(x_s) - p_i(x_s))'$ converges in distribution to ζ , where ζ is multivariate normal variable with mean vector 0 and diagonal covariance matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, where

$$a_{ii} = B(x_i) \int K^2(u) du,$$

$$B(x_i) = \dagger_i^2(x_i) \left[p_1^{-1}(x_i)(1-p_1(x_i))^{-1} + p_2^{-1}(x_i)(1-p_2(x_i))^{-1} \right], \quad i=1, \dots, s.$$

Proof. For simplicity we shall prove the theorem for the case when $s=2$. Since $p_n(x) \rightarrow 1$, $x \in [0,1]$, then we will consider $p_n(x) = 1$ in definition $\hat{p}_{in}(x)$.

Let's introduce the notations

$$\zeta_{ni}^{(k)}(x_s) = Y_i^{(k)} K\left(\frac{x_s - t_i}{b_n}\right) \frac{1}{b_n}, \quad k=1,2, \quad s=1,2, \quad i=1, \dots, n,$$

$$y_{ni}^{(k)}(x_s) = \zeta_{ni}^{(k)}(x_s) - E\zeta_{ni}^{(k)}(x_s),$$

$$y_n^{(k)}(x_s) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_{ni}^{(k)}(x_s),$$

$$\zeta_n = \sqrt{nb_n} (y_n^{(1)}(x_1), y_n^{(2)}(x_1), y_n^{(1)}(x_2), y_n^{(2)}(x_2))$$

And first of all, we will show that ζ_n converges in distribution to $\bar{\zeta}$, where $\bar{\zeta}$ fourvariate normal variable with mean 0 and diagonal covariance matrix $\bar{A} = \int K^2(u) du \cdot (c_{ij})$, $c_{11} = \dagger_1^2(x_1)$, $c_{22} = \dagger_2^2(x_1)$, $c_{33} = \dagger_2^2(x_2)$, $c_{44} = \dagger_1^2(x_2)$, where $\dagger_i^2(x_k) = p_i(x_k)(1-p_i(x_k))$, $i=1,2$, $k=1,2$.

To prove, we will use the theorem of Cramer-Wold ([6, Theorem xi, p. 103], it will be sufficient that $c \cdot \langle_n'$ converges in distribution to $c \cdot \bar{c}'$ for any $c = (c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4)$ in R^4 .

It follows from independence $\hat{p}_{1n}(x)$ and $\hat{p}_{2n}(x)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \dagger_n^2 = Var(c \cdot \langle_n') = nb_n \left[c_1^2 E\left(y_n^{(1)}(x_1)\right)^2 + c_2^2 E\left(y_n^{(2)}(x_1)\right)^2 + c_3^2 E\left(y_n^{(1)}(x_2)\right)^2 + \right. \\ \left. + c_4^2 E\left(y_n^{(2)}(x_2)\right)^2 + 2c_1c_2 E y_n^{(1)}(x_1) y_n^{(1)}(x_2) + 2c_2c_4 E y_n^{(2)}(x_1) y_n^{(2)}(x_2) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Now, we will investigate asymptotics of items in (1). Obviously,

$$nb_n E\left(y_n^{(1)}(x_1)\right)^2 = \frac{1}{nb_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_1(t_i)(1-p_1(t_i)) \cdot \int K^2\left(\frac{x_1-t_i}{b_n}\right) dt.$$

Hence, owing to Lemma 1, we will receive

$$nb_n E\left(y_n^{(1)}(x_1)\right)^2 = \frac{1}{b_n} \int_0^1 K^2\left(\frac{x_1-t}{b_n}\right) p_1(t)(1-p_1(t)) dt + O\left(\frac{1}{nb_n}\right)$$

Since $\left[\frac{x_1-1}{b_n}, \frac{x_1}{b_n}\right] \supseteq [-\dagger, \dagger]$, then it can be stated that

$$nb_n E\left(y_n^{(1)}(x_1)\right)^2 = \dagger_1^2(x_1) + O(b_n) + O\left(\frac{1}{nb_n}\right). \tag{2}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} nb_n E\left(y_n^{(2)}(x_1)\right)^2 &= \dagger_2^2(x_1) + O(b_n) + O\left(\frac{1}{nb_n}\right), \\ nb_n E\left(y_n^{(1)}(x_2)\right)^2 &= \dagger_1^2(x_2) + O(b_n) + O\left(\frac{1}{nb_n}\right), \\ nb_n E\left(y_n^{(2)}(x_2)\right)^2 &= \dagger_2^2(x_2) + O(b_n) + O\left(\frac{1}{nb_n}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Then, let $x_2 > x_1$, $u = x_2 - x_1$, $u_n = \frac{u}{b_n}$ and $\Pi(x) = p_1(x)(1-p_1(x))$. Owing to Lemma 1 we have

$$nb_n E y_n^{(1)}(x_1) y_n^{(1)}(x_2) = \int_{\frac{x_1-1}{b_n}}^{\frac{x_1}{b_n}} K(z) K(u_n+z) \Pi(x_1-b_n z) dz + O\left(\frac{1}{nb_n}\right).$$

Since $\left[\frac{x_1-1}{b_n}, \frac{x_1}{b_n}\right] \supseteq [-\dagger, \dagger]$, from this we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
nb_n EY_n^{(1)}(x_1)Y_n^{(1)}(x_2) &= \int_{-\dagger}^{\dagger} K(z)K(u_n+z)\Pi(x_1-b_n z)dz + O\left(\frac{1}{nb_n}\right) = \\
&= \int_{|z| < \frac{u_n}{2}} K(z)K(u_n+z)\Pi(x_1-b_n z)dz + \int_{|z| \geq \frac{u_n}{2}} K(z)K(u_n+z)\Pi(x_1-b_n z)dz + O\left(\frac{1}{nb_n}\right) \leq \\
&\leq \sup_{|z| < \frac{u_n}{2}} K(u_n+z) \int_{-\dagger}^{\dagger} K(z)\Pi(x_1-b_n z)dz + \sup_{|z| > \frac{u_n}{2}} K(z) \int_{-\dagger}^{\dagger} K(u_n+z)\Pi(x_1-b_n z)dz + O\left(\frac{1}{nb_n}\right) \leq \\
&\leq \sup_{|z| \geq \frac{u_n}{2}} K(z) \int_{-\dagger}^{\dagger} K(z)\Pi(x_1-b_n z)dz + \sup_{|z| \geq \frac{u_n}{2}} K(z) \int_{-\dagger}^{\dagger} K(u_n+z)\Pi(x_1-b_n z)dz + O\left(\frac{1}{nb_n}\right) \leq \\
&\leq C_1 \sup_{|z| \geq \frac{u_n}{2}} K(z) + O\left(\frac{1}{nb_n}\right) \leq C_2 b_n + O\left(\frac{1}{nb_n}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$nb_n EY_n^{(1)}(x_1)Y_n^{(1)}(x_2) = O(b_n) + O\left(\frac{1}{nb_n}\right). \quad (4)$$

Similarly

$$nb_n EY_n^{(1)}(x_1)Y_n^{(2)}(x_2) = O(b_n) + O\left(\frac{1}{nb_n}\right). \quad (5)$$

From (1)-(5) we can conclude that while $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\dagger_n^2 \rightarrow c\bar{A}c' > 0. \quad (6)$$

Now, the only thing is to check the conditions of central limit theorem of Lyapunov. Obviously,

$$c_1 Y_n^{(1)}(x_1) + c_2 Y_n^{(2)}(x_1) + c_3 Y_n^{(1)}(x_2) + c_4 Y_n^{(2)}(x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^n z_{ni},$$

where

$$z_{ni} = \frac{\sqrt{b_n}}{\sqrt{n}} \left(c_1 Y_{ni}^{(1)}(x_1) + c_2 Y_{ni}^{(2)}(x_1) + c_3 Y_{ni}^{(1)}(x_2) + c_4 Y_{ni}^{(2)}(x_2) \right).$$

Let us estimate $E|z_{ni}|^{2+u}$, $u > 0$. We have

$$E|z_{ni}|^{2+u} \leq C_3 \left[\left(\frac{\sqrt{b_n}}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{2+u} \left(E|Y_{ni}^{(1)}(x_1)|^{2+u} + E|Y_{ni}^{(2)}(x_1)|^{2+u} + E|Y_{ni}^{(1)}(x_2)|^{2+u} + E|Y_{ni}^{(2)}(x_2)|^{2+u} \right) \right].$$

It is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\sqrt{b_n}}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{2+u} E|Y_{ni}^{(s)}(x)|^{2+u} &\leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{b_n}}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{2+u} E|Y_{ni}^{(s)}(x) - EY_{ni}^{(s)}(x)|^{2+u} \leq \\
&\leq C_4 \left(\frac{\sqrt{b_n}}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{2+u} \frac{1}{b_n^{2+u}} K\left(\frac{x-t_i}{b_n}\right), \quad s=1,2, \quad x=x_1, \quad x=x_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n E|z_{ni}|^{2+u} \leq C_5 \left(\frac{\sqrt{b_n}}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^{2+u} \frac{nb}{b_n^{2+u}} \left[\frac{1}{nb_n} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x_1 - t_i}{b_n}\right) + \frac{1}{nb_n} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x_2 - t_i}{b_n}\right) \right]. \tag{7}$$

According to Lemma 1, from (7) it is easily seen that

$$R_n = \sum_{i=1}^n E|z_{ni}|^{2+u} \leq C_6 \frac{1}{(nb_n)^{u/2}}.$$

From here and from (6) it appears that

$$\frac{R_n}{\dagger_n} \rightarrow 0.$$

So, \langle_n converges in distribution to $\bar{\langle}$.

Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\xi}_n = \sqrt{nb_n} & \left(\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_{ni}^{(1)}(x_1) - p_1(x_1)) \right], \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_{ni}^{(2)}(x_1) - p_2(x_1)) \right], \right. \\ & \left. \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_{ni}^{(1)}(x_2) - p_1(x_2)) \right], \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_{ni}^{(2)}(x_2) - p_2(x_2)) \right] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us show that $\bar{\xi}_n$ converges in distribution to $\bar{\xi}$.

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle_n - \bar{\xi}_n = \sqrt{nb_n} & \left(\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (E\xi_{ni}^{(1)}(x_1) - p_1(x_1)) \right], \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (E\xi_{ni}^{(2)}(x_1) - p_2(x_1)) \right], \right. \\ & \left. \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (E\xi_{ni}^{(1)}(x_2) - p_1(x_2)) \right], \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (E\xi_{ni}^{(2)}(x_2) - p_2(x_2)) \right] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since, according to the condition in the theorem $\int xK(x)dx = 0$, $\int x^2K(x)dx < \infty$, $p_i^n(x)$, $i = 1, 2$, are bounded, $nb_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $nb_n^5 \rightarrow 0$, then

$$\frac{\sqrt{b_n}}{\sqrt{n}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (E\xi_{ni}^{(k)}(x_s) - p_k(x_s)) \right] = O\left((nb_n^5)^{1/2}\right).$$

So,

$$\langle_n - \bar{\xi}_n = O_p\left((nb_n^5)^{1/2}\right).$$

We can accomplish the theorem proving, if we introduce the definition of vector function $g(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$:

$$g(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = (g_1(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4), g_2(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)),$$

where

$$g_1(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = \frac{y_1(1-y_2)}{(1-y_1)y_2},$$

$$g_2(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = \frac{y_3(1-y_4)}{(1-y_3)y_4}.$$

Let $\boldsymbol{y} = (p_1(x_1), p_2(x_1), p_1(x_2), p_2(x_2))$. Then $\bar{\zeta}_n$ can be written as

$$\bar{\zeta}_n = (nb_n)^{1/2} (T_n - \boldsymbol{y})',$$

where $T_n = (T_{n1}, T_{n2}, T_{n3}, T_{n4})$,

$$T_{n1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle_{ni}^{(1)}(x_1), \quad T_{n2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle_{ni}^{(2)}(x_1), \quad T_{n3} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle_{ni}^{(1)}(x_2), \quad T_{n4} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle_{ni}^{(2)}(x_2).$$

Finally, by using the theorem of Mann-Wold ([6, Theorem ii, p. 321]) we can conclude that $(nb_n)^{1/2} (g(T_n) - g(\boldsymbol{y}))$ converges in distribution to Z , where Z is $N(D, DAD')$ and D is the matrix of partial derivatives of g , evaluated at \boldsymbol{y} . It is readily verified that $D\bar{A}D' = A$, and that

$$g(T_n) - g(\boldsymbol{y}) = (\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_n(x_1) - \boldsymbol{y}(x_1), \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_n(x_2) - \boldsymbol{y}(x_2))'.$$

The theorem is proved.

Theorem 3. Let $K(x) \in H(\ddagger)$ and Fourier transform of function $K(x)$ is absolutely integrated, and $p_i(x)$, $i=1,2$, are continuous on $[0,1]$ and $0 < \inf_x p_i(x) \leq \sup_x p_i(x) < 1$. If $nb_n^2 \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_n(x) - \boldsymbol{y}(x)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability for any } [a, b] \subset [0, 1].$$

Indeed, it is easy to be convinced that

$$\sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_n(x) - \boldsymbol{y}(x)| \leq 2 \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} \frac{|\hat{p}_{1n}(x) - p_1(x)| + |\hat{p}_{2n}(x) - p_2(x)|}{(1 - \hat{p}_{1n}(x)) \hat{p}_{2n}(x) (1 - p_1(x)) p_2(x)}.$$

Then, by using of affirmation method (x) of the item 2c.4 ([6]), we will receive

$$P \left\{ \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_n(x) - \boldsymbol{y}(x)| > \nu \right\} \leq$$

$$\leq P \left\{ \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |\hat{p}_{1n}(x) - p_1(x)| + \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |\hat{p}_{2n}(x) - p_2(x)| \geq u(\nu) \right\} + o(1), \quad u(\nu) > 0.$$

From here and from theorem 2.2 of the work [3] the proof of the theorem follows.

მათემატიკა

რეგრესიის ფუნქციის გულოვანი შეფასების საშუალებით Odds-Ratio-ს შეფასების შესახებ

პ. ბაბილუა*, ე. ნადარაია**, მ. ფაცაცია§, ზ. ხერინაშვილი*

* ივანე ჯავახიშვილის სახ. თბილისის სახელმწიფო უნივერსიტეტი, ზუსტ და საბუნებისმეტყველო მეცნიერებათა ფაკულტეტი, მათემატიკის დეპარტამენტი, თბილისი, საქართველო

აკადემიის წევრი, ივანე ჯავახიშვილის სახ. თბილისის სახელმწიფო უნივერსიტეტი, ზუსტ და საბუნებისმეტყველო მეცნიერებათა ფაკულტეტი, მათემატიკის დეპარტამენტი, თბილისი, საქართველო
§ სოხუმის სახელმწიფო უნივერსიტეტი, მათემატიკის და კომპიუტერულ მეცნიერებათა ფაკულტეტი, მათემატიკის დეპარტამენტი, თბილისი, საქართველო

რეგრესიის ფუნქციის გულოვანი შეფასების საშუალებით ნაშრომში აგებულია odds-ratio-ს შეფასება. დამტკიცებულია აგებული შეფასების ძალდებულობა, ასიმპტოტური ნორმალობა და თანაბარი კრებადობა.

REFERENCES

1. Efromovich S. (1999) Nonparametric curve estimation. Methods, theory, and applications. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer-Verlag, New York.
2. Okumura H., Naito K. (2004) Weighted kernel estimators in nonparametric binomial regression. The international conference on recent trends and directions in nonparametric statistics. *J. Nonparametr. Stat.*, **16**, 1-2: 39-62.
3. Nadaraya E., Babilua P., Sokhadze G. (2013) About the nonparametric estimation of the Bernoulli regression. *Comm. Statist. Theory Methods*, **42**, 22: 3989-4002.
4. Nadaraya E., Babilua P., Sokhadze G. (2012) On the integral square measure of deviation of a nonparametric estimator of the Bernoulli regression. *Teoriya Veroyatnoste-i e Primeneniya*, **57**, 2: 322-336 (in Russian); translation in *Theory Probab. Appl.* **57** (2013), 2 265-278.
5. Babilua P. K., Nadaraya E. A., Sokhadze G. A. (2015) On the square-integrable measure of the divergence of two nuclear estimations of the Bernoulli regression functions. *Ukra n. Mat. Zh.*, **67**, 1: 3-18 (in Russian); translation in *Ukrainian Math. J.* **67**, 1: 1-18.
6. Rao C. R. (1965) Linear statistical inference and its applications. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney.

Received April, 2017