

On Algebraic K -Functors of Crossed Restricted Enveloping Algebras of Lie p -Algebras

Giorgi Rakviashvili

Ilia State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

(Presented by Academy Member Hvedri Inassaridze)

ABSTRACT. A crossed universal Λ -enveloping restricted associative algebra of Lie p -algebra L , where Λ is a commutative L -module algebra, is constructed, and P.B.W. theorem is proved for him. It is proved that such algebras are particular cases of crossed Hopf algebras, its algebraic K -functors are Frobenius modules over Grothendieck functor of enveloping algebra of Lie (p)-algebra and Sweedler and Hopf cohomologies of $k[L]$ with coefficients in commutative algebras are isomorphic. © 2019 Bull. Georg. Natl. Acad. Sci.

Key words: crossed enveloping algebra, Lie (p)-algebra, algebraic K -functors

In this paper a crossed universal (restricted) Λ -enveloping algebra of Lie (p)-algebra is constructed, where Λ is a commutative algebra over a ground field k , on which Lie (p)-algebra acts by derivations. It is shown that they are particular cases of the crossed Hopf algebras [1], and P.B.W. theorems are proved for them. It is also proved that algebraic K -functors [2-4] of the crossed Λ -enveloping algebra of Lie (p)-algebra are Frobenius modules [5] over Grothendieck functor [5] of a suitable restricted universal algebra of Lie p -algebra. These results generalize corresponding results for restricted crossed enveloping algebras of finite dimensional Lie p -algebras from [6]. The following results are relevant to this work: in [7] it was proved that the Grothendieck group of a finite group is a Frobenius functor, in [5] and [8] it was proved that the algebraic K_n -functors of a finite group G , $n \geq 1$ are Frobenius modules over the Grothendieck group of G , which were generalized for twisted group rings in [9, 10].

Let k be a commutative algebra with identity, A an associative k -algebra, $M \in k\text{-mod}$. A k -module

$$T(A, M) = A \oplus (A \otimes M \otimes A) \oplus (A \otimes M \otimes A \otimes M \otimes A) \oplus \dots$$

is an associative algebra with multiplication

$$(a_1 \otimes m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes n_1 \otimes b_1)(a_2 \otimes m_2 \otimes \dots \otimes n_2 \otimes b_2) = \\ = a_1 \otimes m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes n_1 \otimes b_1 a_2 \otimes m_2 \otimes \dots \otimes n_2 \otimes b_2.$$

Let us construct mappings $i_1 : A \rightarrow T(A, M)$, $a \rightarrow a$, $i_2 : M \rightarrow T(A, M)$, $m \rightarrow 1 \otimes m \otimes 1$.

This triplet $(T(A, M), i_1, i_2)$ has the property of universality: if B is an associative algebra, $f_1 : A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of associative algebras, $f_2 : M \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of k -modules, then there exist an unique morphism of algebras $f : T(A, M) \rightarrow B$ such that $F i_1 = f_1, F i_2 = f_2$.

Further we assume that k is a field and $\text{char } k = p$ in the case of a Lie p -algebra. Let L be a Lie (p) -algebra with a p -mapping $x \rightarrow x^{[p]}$ [11]. Suppose L acts by derivations on a commutative k -algebra Λ . Λ_p denotes the Lie p -algebra with Λ as an underlying space, with a zero multiplication and a p -mapping $a^{[p]} = a^p$.

Let $[(\alpha, \beta)] \in \bar{H}^2(L, \Lambda_p)$, $\alpha : L \otimes L \rightarrow \Lambda$, $\beta : L \rightarrow \Lambda$, be a 2-cocycle of Pargis cohomology of Lie p -algebra [11] of L with coefficients in Λ_p . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x, x) &= 0, \\ \alpha(x_0 \otimes [x_1, x_2]) + \alpha(x_1 \otimes [x_2, x_0]) + \alpha(x_2 \otimes [x_0, x_1]) + x_0 \alpha(x_1 \otimes x_2) + x_1 \alpha(x_2 \otimes x_0) + x_2 \alpha(x_0 \otimes x_1) &= 0, \\ \beta(\lambda x) = \lambda^p \beta(x), \quad \alpha(x^{[p]} \otimes x') - x' \beta(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} x^{p-1-i} \alpha(x \otimes (\text{adx})^i(x')) = \Gamma(x, x') \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\beta(x_0 + x_1) - \beta(x_0) - \beta(x_1) = \sum_t \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{|t^{-1}(0)|} x_{t(1)} \dots x_{t(i-1)} \alpha(x_{t(i)} \otimes [x_{t(i+1)} [\dots [x_{t(p-1)}, x_1] \dots]])$$

First two conditions in (1) define a 2-cocycle $[\alpha] \in H^2(L, \Lambda^+)$ of second cohomology group of the Lie algebra L with coefficients in the ground space Λ^+ of Λ .

Definition 1. a) A crossed Λ -enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra L with respect to the 2-cocycle $[\alpha] \in H^2(L, \Lambda^+) \setminus$ is a triple $(\Lambda(L, \alpha), i_1, i_2)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(L, \alpha) = T(\Lambda, L) / \{ &1 \otimes x \otimes \lambda - \lambda \otimes x \otimes 1 - x \cdot \lambda, 1 \otimes x_0 \otimes 1 \otimes x_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_1 \otimes 1 \otimes x_0 \otimes 1 - \\ &- 1 \otimes [x_0, x_1] \otimes 1 - \alpha(x_0 \otimes x_1) \} \end{aligned}$$

and i_1, i_2 are induced by the corresponding embedding of Λ and L in $T(\Lambda, L)$.

b) A crossed Λ -enveloping restricted algebra of a Lie p -algebra L with respect to the 2-cocycle $[\alpha] \in \bar{H}^2(L, \Lambda_p)$ is a triple $(\Lambda[L, \alpha, \beta], i_1, i_2)$, where

$$\Lambda[L, \alpha, \beta] = \Lambda(L, \alpha) / \{x^p - x^{[p]} - \beta(x)\}$$

and i_1, i_2 are induced by the corresponding embeddings of Λ and L in $T(\Lambda, L)$.

Instead of $i_1(\lambda)$ we will write λ . We denote $i_2(x)$ by \bar{x} if $[\alpha] \neq 0$ or $[(\alpha, \beta)] \neq 0$ and by x if $[\alpha] = 0$ or $[(\alpha, \beta)] = 0$.

An algebra $\Lambda(L, \alpha)$ was defined in [12] for graded Lie algebras in the case $\Lambda = k$. An algebra $\Lambda[L, \alpha, \beta]$ was defined in [13] in the case $\Lambda = k, \alpha = 0$.

The following proposition is easy:

Proposition 2. a) Let L be a Lie algebra. If (B, f_1, f_2) is a triplet, B is an associative algebra, $f_1 : \Lambda \rightarrow B, f_2 : L \rightarrow B$ are morphisms of algebras and k -modules, and

$$f_2(x) f_1(\lambda) = f_1(b) f_2(x) + f_1(x \cdot \lambda), \quad f_2([x_0, x_1]) = [f_2(x_0), f_2(x_1)] + f_1 \alpha(x_0 \otimes x_1), \tag{2}$$

then there exists a unique morphism of algebras $F : \Lambda(L, \alpha) \rightarrow B$ such that $F i_1 = f_1, F i_2 = f_2$.

b) Let L be a Lie p -algebra and (B, f_1, f_2) be the triplet such that $f_2(x^{[p]}) = f_2(x)^p + f_1\beta(x)$. Then there exists a unique morphism of algebras $F : \Lambda[L, \alpha, \beta] \rightarrow B$ such that $F i_1 = f_1, F i_2 = f_2$.

Let $k(L)$ denotes an enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra L and $k[L]$ denotes a restricted enveloping algebra of a Lie p -algebra L . Then $k(L)$ and $k[L]$ are Hopf algebras [14] with comultiplication Δ an antipode S such that $S^2 = id$ and $Hom(k(L), \Lambda(L, \alpha))$ is an associative algebra by multiplication $(f * g)(c) = \mu(f \otimes g)\Delta(c)$. Similarly for Lie p -algebras.

Proposition 3. $\Lambda(L, \alpha)$ ($\Lambda[L, \alpha, \beta]$) is a comodule algebra [14] over $k(L)$ ($k[L]$).

Proof. Let us define $\chi : L \rightarrow \Lambda(L, \alpha)$ as $\chi(x) = \bar{x} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$. It is easy to verify that conditions (2) are true, therefore by Proposition 2 the mapping χ can be extended on $\Lambda(L, \alpha)$. The case of a Lie p -algebra is proved similarly.

Proposition 4. a) There exist an invertible morphism of $k(L)$ -modules $\gamma \in Hom(k(L), \Lambda(L, \alpha))$.

b) There exist an invertible morphism of $k[L]$ -modules $\gamma \in Hom(k[L], \Lambda[L, \alpha, \beta])$.

Proof. a) Let $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a basis of L where I is a completely ordered set. By P.B.W. theorem a set $\{x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_n}\}, i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_n$ is a basis of $k(L)$. Let us define

$$\gamma : k(L) \rightarrow \Lambda(L, \alpha), \quad x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_n} \rightarrow \bar{x}_{i_1} \dots \bar{x}_{i_n}.$$

It is clear that γ is a morphism of $k(L)$ -modules. Let us prove that $\gamma * \gamma S = \eta \varepsilon$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma * \gamma)(x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_n}) &= \mu(\gamma \otimes \gamma S) \sum_{r_i + s_i = 1} x_{i_1}^{r_1} \dots x_{i_n}^{r_n} \otimes x_{i_1}^{s_1} \dots x_{i_n}^{s_n} = \sum_{r_i + s_i = 1} \bar{x}_{i_1}^{r_1} \dots \bar{x}_{i_n}^{r_n} (-1)^{s_1 + \dots + s_n} \bar{x}_{i_n}^{s_n} \dots \bar{x}_{i_1}^{s_1} = \\ &= \sum_{r_i + s_i = 1} \bar{x}_{i_1}^{r_1} \dots \bar{x}_{i_{n-1}}^{r_{n-1}} \bar{x}_{i_n} (-1)^{s_1 + \dots + s_{n-1}} \bar{x}_{i_{n-1}}^{s_{n-1}} \dots \bar{x}_{i_1}^{s_1} + \sum_{r_i + s_i = 1} \bar{x}_{i_1}^{r_1} \dots \bar{x}_{i_{n-1}}^{r_{n-1}} (-1)^{s_1 + \dots + s_{n-1} + 1} \bar{x}_{i_n} \bar{x}_{i_{n-1}}^{s_{n-1}} \dots \bar{x}_{i_1}^{s_1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Also $(\gamma * \gamma S)(1) = 1$, that is $\gamma S = \gamma^{-1}$. The case b) is proved similarly.

Theorem 1. Let $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a basis of L where I is a completely ordered set. Then

a) $\Lambda(L, \alpha)$ is a free left (also right) module over Λ with the basis

$$\{\bar{x}_{i_1}^{r_1} \dots \bar{x}_{i_n}^{r_n}\}, \quad i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n.$$

b) $\Lambda[L, \alpha, \beta]$ is a free left (also right) module over Λ with the basis

$$\{\bar{x}_{i_1}^{r_1} \dots \bar{x}_{i_n}^{r_n}\}, \quad i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n, 0 \leq r_i < p.$$

Proof. From Definition 1 it follows that the above mentioned elements are generators of $\Lambda(L, \alpha)$. Let us prove that they are linearly independent. Let us define

$$P(\chi) \otimes k(L) \rightarrow \Lambda(L, \alpha), \quad au \rightarrow a\gamma(u), \tag{3}$$

where $P(\chi) = \{u \in \Lambda(L, \alpha) \mid \chi(u) = u \otimes 1\}$ contains Λ and is the subring of $\Lambda(L, \alpha)$ and γ is the morphism from the proof of Proposition 3. As in Lemma 8.3, [14] (see also Lemma 1) it is easy to show that the mapping $u \rightarrow \sum_u u_{(0)} \gamma^{-1}(u_{(1)}) \otimes u_{(2)}$ is the inverse to (3). Therefore (3) is an isomorphism of left

$P(\chi)$ -modules. Since $1 \otimes x_{i_1}^{r_1} \dots x_{i_n}^{r_n} \rightarrow \bar{x}_{i_1}^{r_1} \dots \bar{x}_{i_n}^{r_n}, i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n$, and by P.B.W. theorem $x_{i_1}^{r_1} \dots x_{i_n}^{r_n}$ are linearly independent, $\bar{x}_{i_1}^{r_1} \dots \bar{x}_{i_n}^{r_n}$ are linearly independent over $P(\chi)$ and therefore over Λ . The case b) is proved similarly.

Theorem 1(a) was proved in [12] for graded Lie algebras when $\Lambda = k$. Theorem 1(b) was proved in [13] when $\Lambda = k, \alpha = 0$.

Proposition 5. Let $u \in \Lambda(L, \alpha)$ ($u \in \Lambda[L, \alpha, \beta]$) and $\chi(u) = \sum_{(u)} u_{(0)} \otimes u_{(1)}$. Then $u\lambda = \sum_{(u)} (u_{(1)}\lambda)u_{(0)}$.

Proof. If $u = \bar{x}$, the statement follows from Definition 1. The general case is proved by induction on the length of u .

Proposition 6.

$$a) \Lambda = \{u \in \Lambda(L, \alpha) \mid \chi(u) = u \otimes 1\}, \quad b) \Lambda = \{u \in \Lambda[L, \alpha, \beta] \mid \chi(u) = u \otimes 1\}. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Let $u = \sum_I \lambda_I \bar{x}^I$ where $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\}, i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_n, \bar{x}^I = \bar{x}_1^{-i_1} \dots \bar{x}_n^{-i_n}$. If u satisfies (4) then

$$\sum_I \lambda_I \bar{x}^I \otimes 1 = \sum_I \sum_J \lambda_I \bar{x}^J \otimes x^{I \setminus J}. \tag{5}$$

By Theorem 1 elements $\bar{x}^J \otimes x^{I \setminus J}$ are linearly independent over Λ . If $I \neq \emptyset$ then from (5) it follows that $\lambda_I = 0$, therefore $u \in \Lambda$.

Sweedler cohomology [14] $H^n(H, \Lambda)$ of a Hopf algebra H and a H -module commutative algebra Λ with action $\psi : H \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ are homology groups of the complex $d^{q-1} : \text{Reg}(\otimes^q H, \Lambda) \rightarrow \text{Reg}(\otimes^{q+1} H, \Lambda)$, $q = 1, 2, \dots$, where $\text{Reg}(\otimes^q H, \Lambda)$ are invertible elements of $\text{Hom}(\otimes^q H, \Lambda)$ with respect to the product $*$ and

$$d^{q-1}(f) = [\psi(I \otimes f)] * [f^{-1}(\mu \otimes I \otimes \dots \otimes I)] * [f(I \otimes \mu \otimes \dots \otimes I)] * \dots * [f^\pm(I \otimes I \otimes \dots \otimes \mu)] * [f^\mp \otimes \varepsilon].$$

Let $\sigma \in \text{Reg}_+^2(H, \Lambda) = \{f \in \text{Reg}^2(H, \Lambda) \mid (\exists i) h_i \in k \Rightarrow f(h_1, h_2) = \varepsilon(h_1)\varepsilon(h_2)\}$. Then a crossed product $\Lambda \#_\sigma H$ of a Hopf algebra H and a H -module commutative algebra Λ is [14] an associative algebra with the underlying space $\Lambda \otimes H$ and with a multiplication

$$(\lambda \#_\sigma g)(\mu \#_\sigma h) = \lambda \sum_{g, h} (g_{(1)}\mu)\sigma(g_{(2)} \otimes h_{(1)}) \otimes g_{(3)}h_{(2)}.$$

Theorem 2. For a Lie p -algebra L there exists a natural isomorphism of Sweedler cohomologies [14] of Hopf algebra $k[L]$ with coefficients in a $k[L]$ -module [14] commutative algebra Λ and Hochschild cohomologies of L with coefficients in Λ^+

$$H^n(k[L], \Lambda) \simeq H^n(L, \Lambda^+), \quad n \geq 2.$$

Proof. A normal complex corresponding to $H^n(L, \Lambda^+)$ consists of modules

$$C_n = \{f \in \text{Hom}(\otimes^n k[L], \Lambda) \mid f(\lambda_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_n) = 0 \text{ if } \lambda_i \in k \text{ for some } i\},$$

where $n > 0, C_0 = \Lambda^+$ with corresponding derivations. If we define a structure of $k[L]$ -module on $\text{Hom}(k[L], \Lambda)$ as $(l \rightarrow f)(u) = f(ul), l \in L$ then $\text{Hom}(k[L], \Lambda)$ becomes a $k[L]$ -module algebra, i.e.

$$u \rightarrow (f * g) = \sum_u (u_{(1)} \rightarrow f) * (u_{(2)} \rightarrow g).$$

It is clear that the projection $k(L) \rightarrow k[L]$ induces the $k(L)$ -module structure on $Hom(k[L], \Lambda)$. For $f \in C_1$ let us define a sequence $f^{(0)}, f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \dots \in C_1$ as $f^{(0)} = \eta\varepsilon, f^{(1)} = f$ and suppose that there are already defined $f^{(i)}, i < n$. Let $Y = \{ky\}$ be a one-dimensional vector space. Then $Hom(k[L], \Lambda) \oplus Y$ is a $k(L)$ -module by action $l \rightarrow (\varphi, \lambda y) = l \rightarrow \varphi + f^{(n-1)} * (l \rightarrow f), l \in L$. Let us prove $l^p(\varphi, \lambda y) = l^{[p]}(\varphi, \lambda y)$. It is sufficient to consider the case $\varphi = 0, \lambda = 1$. We have

$$l^p(0, y) = \sum_{\substack{r_0+r_1+\dots+r_{q-1}=i \\ r_0+2r_1+\dots+qr_{q-1}=p}} a_r f^{(n-i)} * (l \rightarrow f)^{r_0} * (l^2 \rightarrow f)^{r_1} * \dots * (l^q \rightarrow f)^{r_{q-1}},$$

where degree is calculated with respect to $*$. Using induction we can verify that

$$a_{r_1, \dots, r_{q-1}} = \left[\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \prod_{i=1}^{r_j} \binom{ij+i-1}{p} \right] \binom{p}{2r_1, 3r_2, \dots, qr_{q-1}}. \tag{6}$$

Therefore $l^p(0, y) = f^{(n-i)} * (l - f)^p + f^{(n-1)} * (l^p - f)$, because all other coefficients are divisible by p .

Since $char(k) = p$, then $\varphi^p = 0$ for any $\varphi \in Hom(k[L], \Lambda)$. Therefore

$$l^p(0, y) = f^{(n-i)} * (l - f)^p = f^{(n-1)} * (l^{[p]} - f) = l^{[p]}(0, y).$$

Consequently this action induces a structure of $k[L]$ -module on $Hom(k[L], \Lambda) \oplus Y$. Since $k[L] = Ker\varepsilon \oplus k$ we may define $f^{(n)}(1) = 0, f^{(n)}(u) = (u \rightarrow y)(1)$ if $u \in Ker(\varepsilon)$. From the definition it follows that

$$l \rightarrow f^{(n)} = f^{(n-1)} * (l \rightarrow f). \tag{7}$$

The constructed sequence is uniquely defined by (7) and the conditions $f^{(0)} = \eta\varepsilon, f^{(1)} = f, f^{(n)} = 0$, if $n > 1$. The next proof is similar to the proof of the analogous result for Lie algebras in [14] (Theorem 4.3). Namely, for any $u \in k[L]$ there exist i such that $n > i \Rightarrow f^{(n)}(u) = 0$. Therefore one can prove that morphisms

$$exp: C_1 \rightarrow Reg_+^1(k[L], \Lambda), \quad exp(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f^{(i)},$$

$$log: Reg_+^1(k[L], \Lambda) \rightarrow C_1, \quad log(f + \eta\varepsilon) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i!(-1)^i f^{(i+1)}$$

are the group isomorphisms. Using these mappings, we construct the isomorphism $C_n \rightarrow Reg_+^n(k[L], \Lambda)$ which commutes with derivations.

Proposition 7. A subspace $E = \Lambda \#_{\sigma} 1 + 1 \#_{\sigma} L \subseteq \Lambda \#_{\sigma} k[L]$ is a Lie p -algebra with respect to the multiplication $[,]$ and the p -mapping $u \rightarrow u^p$. This statement is valid also for Lie algebras.

Proof. Let $x, y \in L$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\lambda + 1\#_{\sigma} x, \mu + 1\#_{\sigma} y] &= \lambda\mu + \lambda\#_{\sigma} y + x \cdot \mu + \mu\#_{\sigma} y + \sigma(x \otimes y) + \\
 &+ 1\#_{\sigma} xy - \mu\lambda - \mu\#_{\sigma} x - y\lambda - \lambda\#_{\sigma} y - \sigma(y \otimes x) - 1\#_{\sigma} yx = \\
 &= 1\#[xy] + \sigma(x \otimes y) - \sigma(y \otimes x) + x\mu - y\lambda \in E.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{8}$$

It is easy to prove by induction that $(\lambda + 1\#_{\sigma} x)^p = \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} \lambda^i \#_{\sigma} x^{p-i} \pmod{\Lambda}$, from which it follows that $(\lambda + 1\#_{\sigma} x)^p \in E$. Since $\Lambda\#_{\sigma} k[L]$ is a Lie p -algebra with respect to the operations $[-, -], (-)^p$, then E is a Lie p -algebra. In addition, let us remark that the coefficient of $\sigma(x \otimes x)^{r_1} \sigma(x^2 \otimes x)^{r_2} \dots \sigma(x^{q-1} \otimes x)^{r_{q-1}} \#_{\sigma} x^{r_0}$ in $(1\#_{\sigma} x)^p$ is expressed by (6). Consequently $(1\#_{\sigma} x)^p = 1\#_{\sigma} x^{[p]} + \sigma(x^{p-1} \otimes x)$.

Corollary. Let us define $\alpha(x \otimes y) = \sigma(x \otimes y) - \sigma(y \otimes x)$, $\beta(x) = \sigma(x^{p-1} \otimes x)$, $x, y \in L$.

Then the pair (α, β) is a 2-cocycle of Pareigis cohomology of Lie p -algebras.

Proof. We must prove that the pair (α, β) satisfies conditions (1). This is because E is a Lie p -algebra. For example, let us prove the fourth condition of (1). Indeed, from (8) it follows that $ad(1\#_{\sigma} x)(1\#_{\sigma} y) = 1\#_{\sigma} ad(x)(y) + \alpha(x, y)$. Thus $ad(1\#_{\sigma} x)^p(1\#_{\sigma} y) = 1\#_{\sigma} ad(x)^p(y) + \Gamma(x, y)$. On the other hand $ad(1\#_{\sigma} x)^p(1\#_{\sigma} y) = ad(1\#_{\sigma} x^{[p]} + \beta(x))(1\#_{\sigma} y) = 1\#_{\sigma}[x[p], y] + \alpha(x[p] \otimes y) - y\beta(x)$, because $(ad1\#_{\sigma} x)^p = ad((1\#_{\sigma} x)^p)$.

Theorem 3. i) $\Lambda(L, \alpha)$ and $\Lambda[L, \alpha, \beta]$ are splitting extensions of $k(L)$ and $k[L]$ respectively.

ii) $\Lambda(L, \alpha) \simeq \Lambda(L, \alpha')$ as the extensions if and only if $\alpha \sim \alpha'$,

$\Lambda[L, \alpha, \beta] \simeq \Lambda[L, \alpha', \beta']$ as the extensions if and only if $(\alpha, \beta) \sim (\alpha', \beta')$.

iii) $\Lambda\#_{\sigma} k(L) \simeq \Lambda(L, \alpha)$ and $\Lambda\#_{\sigma} k[L] \simeq \Lambda[L, \alpha, \beta]$ for some α and (α, β) respectively.

Proof. Let us consider only the case of Lie p -algebras.

i) This follows from the propositions 3 and 6.

ii) Let us recall that $(\alpha, \beta) \sim (\alpha', \beta')$ means

$$(\alpha' - \alpha)(x \otimes y) = x\psi(y) - y\psi(x) - \psi([xy]), \quad (\beta - \beta')(x) = \psi(x)^p + x^{(p-1)}\psi(x) - \psi(x[p]),$$

where $\psi \in Hom(L, \Lambda)$. It is clear that if $(\alpha, \beta) \sim (\alpha', \beta')$ then $L \rightarrow \Lambda[L, \alpha, \beta]$, $x \rightarrow \bar{x} + \beta(x)$ induces the needed isomorphism. On the other hand, let us consider

$$f : \Lambda[L, \alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \Lambda[L, \alpha', \beta'], \quad f|_{\Lambda} = id,$$

where f is the comodule morphism. We have for $x \in L$

$$(f \otimes id)\chi(\bar{x}) - \chi(\tilde{x}) = f(\bar{x}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x - \tilde{x} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x = (f(\bar{x}) - \tilde{x}) \otimes 1,$$

where \tilde{x} is the image of x in $\Lambda[L, \alpha', \beta']$. From Proposition 6 follows that $f(\bar{x}) - \tilde{x} = \psi(x) \in L$. If we calculate $f(u)$ and $f(v)$ for $u = [\bar{x}, \bar{y}] - \overline{[xy]} - \alpha[xy] = 0$, $v = \bar{x}^p - \overline{x^{[p]}} - \beta(x) = 0$, we get the desired identities.

iii) Let us define $\alpha(x, y) = \sigma(x \otimes y) - \sigma(y \otimes x)$, $\beta(x) = \sigma(x^{p-1} \otimes x)$. By Corollary of Proposition 7 the morphisms α and β define an algebra $\Lambda[L, \alpha, \beta]$. As was already shown in the proof of Proposition 7 an embedding $L \rightarrow \Lambda \otimes_{\sigma} k[L]$ satisfies Conditions 5 and 6. Therefore we may extend this embedding to $\Lambda[L, \alpha, \beta]$, which is the isomorphism by Lemma 8.5 from [14].

Let $\bar{H}^n(L, \Lambda_p^+)$ be Pareigis cohomology groups [11] of L with coefficients in Λ_p^+ . It is known [11] that $\bar{H}^n(L, \Lambda_p^+) \simeq H^n(L, \Lambda^+)$, $n \geq 3$.

Corollary. Let L acts as the Lie algebra on a commutative algebra Λ . Then

$$H^2(k[L], \Lambda) \simeq \bar{H}^2(L, \Lambda_p^+) \simeq \bar{H}^2(L, \Lambda^+),$$

and these isomorphisms commute with appropriate extensions.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3 and from the identities

$$(\log \sigma)(x \otimes y) = \sigma(x \otimes y), \quad (\log \sigma)(x^{p-1} \otimes x) = \sigma(x^{p-1} \otimes x).$$

Let us consider now multiplications of algebraic K -functors of $\Lambda[L, \alpha, \beta]$.

The rings $k(L)$ and $k[L]$ are a pointed Hopf algebras [15]. $\Lambda[L, \alpha, \beta]$ is the crossed product of Λ and Hopf algebra $\Lambda_0[\pi]$, where $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda^\pi$. Let us consider the category of groups $G(\pi)$ and the category $G(L)$ of Lie p -subalgebras of L .

Proposition 4. If a Lie p -algebra L acts on a commutative algebra Λ by derivations and $\dim(L) < \infty$, then $G_0(k[-])$ is a Frobenius functor and $K_n \Lambda[-, \alpha, \beta]$ are Frobenius modules over $G_0(k[-])$ on the category $G(L)$.

Proof. Proposition is a particular case of Theorem 1.1 from [1] and Theorem 3. The special case of the Theorem 3 when $\Lambda = k$ was proved in [6].

მათემატიკა

ლის p -ალგებრის ჯვარედინი შემომფარგვლელი შეზღუდული ალგებრის ალგებრული K -ფუნქტორების შესახებ

გ. რაქვიაშვილი

ილიას სახელმწიფო უნივერსიტეტი, თბილისი, საქართველო

(წარმოდგენილია აკადემიის წევრის ხ. ინასარიძის მიერ)

L ლის p -ალგებრისთვის აგებულია ჯვარედინი უნივერსალური Λ -შემომფარგვლელი შეზღუდული ასოციაციური ალგებრა (Λ არის L -მოდულური კომუტაციური ალგებრა), მისთვის დამტკიცებულია პ.პ. თეორემა და ნაჩვენებია, რომ ის ჯვარედინი ჰოპფის ალგებრის კერძო შემთხვევაა, ხოლო მისი ალგებრული K -ფუნქტორები არის ფრობენიუსის მოდულები L -ის მომელები შეზღუდული ალგებრის გროტენდიკის ფუნქტორის მიმართ. დამტკიცებულია, რომ $k[L]$ -ის სვიდლერის და ჰოპფის კოჰომოლოგიები კოეფიციენტებით კომუტაციურ ალგებრებში იზომორფულია.

REFERENCES

1. Rakviashvili G. (1986) On the K -theory of the crossed product of a commutative algebra and a Hopf algebra. *Proc. A. Razmadze Tbilisi Math. Inst. Collection of papers on algebra* **5**:79- 95 (in Russian).
2. Swan R. G. (1970) Nonabelian homological algebra and K -theory. *Proc. Symp. Pure Math. (AMS)*, **17**:88-123.
3. Gersten S. M. (1971) On the functor K_2 . *J. of Algebra*, **17**:212-237.
4. Quillen D. (1972) Higher algebraic K -theory, I. *Lect. Notes in Math.*, **341**:85-147.
5. Lam T. Y. (1968) Induction theorems for grothendieck groups and whitehead groups of finite groups. *Ann. Scient. Ec.Norm. Super.*, **1**:91-148.
6. Rakviashvili G. (1982) On the crossed enveloping algebra of Lie p -algebra. *Bull. Acad. Sci.Georg. SSR*, **108**:265-268 (in Russian).
7. Swan R. G. (1960) Induced representations and projective modules. *Ann. of Math.*, **71**:552-578.
8. Nemytov A. I. (1973) $K_n(R\pi)$ functors as Frobenius modules on the functor $G_0^R(\pi)$. *Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk*, **28**:187-188 (in Russian).
9. Wilson S. M. J. (1974) K -theory for twisted group rings. *Proc LMS*, **29**:257-271.
10. Rakviashvili G. (1982) Inductive theorems and projective modules over crossed group rings. *Proc. A. Razmadze Tbilisi Math. Inst. Collection of papers on algebra* **3**:92-107 (in Russian).
11. Pareigis B. (1968) Kohomologie fon p -Lie algebren. *Math. Zeitschr.*, **104**:281-336 (in German).
12. El-Agawany M., Micali A. (1977) Le theoreme de P.B.W. pour les algebres de Lie Graduees. *C.R.Acad. Sci.*, **285**:165-168.
13. Farnsteiner R., Strade H. (1982) Lie-algebras with faithful completely reducible representations. *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamburg*, **51**:244-251.
14. Sweedler M. (1968) Cohomology of algebras over Hopf algebras. *Trans. of AMS*, **133**:205-239.
15. Radford D. E. (1977) Pointed Hopf algebras are free over Hopf subalgebras. *J. Algebra*, **45**:266-273.

Received July, 2019