**Mathematics** 

## **On One Discrete Model of the Financial Market**

### Petre Babilua<sup>\*</sup>, Besarion Dochviri<sup>\*</sup>, Zaza Khechinashvili<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, Ivane Javakhishvili State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

(Presented by Academy Member Elizbar Nadaraia)

**ABSTRACT.** In the paper it is considered one particular model of the discrete financial market with two bonds and one stock. The interest rate dependent on time and related martingale measure are constructed. The relationship between martingale measure and arbitrage opportunity of financial market is established. An illustrative two-step numerical example of calculation of the European call option is given. © 2016 Bull. Georg. Natl. Acad. Sci.

Key words: financial market, martingale measure, arbitrage, standard call option

**1.** Let us consider financial market in discrete time  $(B, S) = (B_n, S_n)$ , n = 0, 1, ..., N, in which prices of the assets *B* and *S* are given by the following recurrent equalities

$$B_n = (1 + r_n) B_{n-1}, \quad B_0 > 0, \tag{1}$$

$$S_n = (1 + \rho_n) S_{n-1}, \quad S_0 > 0, \tag{2}$$

where  $B_n$  bond price (bank account) satisfies the following relation

 $B_n = B_n^{(1)} + B_n^{(2)},$ 

bonds  $B_n^{(1)}$  and  $B_n^{(2)}$  are defined by equalities

 $B_n^{(1)} = \left(1 + r^{(1)}\right) B_{n-1}^{(1)},\tag{3}$ 

$$B_n^{(2)} = \left(1 + r^{(2)}\right) B_{n-1}^{(2)},\tag{4}$$

In formulas (3) and (4) interest rates  $r^{(1)} > 0$  and  $r^{(2)} > 0$  are the constants. In (2), which defines price of the stock  $S_n$ ,  $\rho_n$  is the sequence of independent identically distributed random variables, that take only two values a and b, a < b, with probabilities p > 0 and 1-p respectively, [1, 2]. At that  $a < r^{(1)} < b$ ,  $a < r^{(2)} < b$ ,  $B_0^{(1)} \neq B_0^{(2)}$ . As for the interest rate  $r_n$ , it is defined for each n with the following representation

$$r_n = \frac{r^{(1)}B_{n-1}^{(1)} + r^{(2)}B_{n-1}^{(2)}}{B_{n-1}^{(1)} + B_{n-1}^{(2)}}.$$
(5)

In the model (1), (2) of a discrete time financial market, *B* is the riskless asset, while *S* – risky asset. It is assumed that  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_n, P)$  is a stochastic basis, where  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma\{S_0, ..., S_n\}$  – is the minimal  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $S_0, ..., S_n$ .

2. Consider two dimensional stochastic sequence  $\pi = \pi_n = (\beta_n, \gamma_n)$ , where  $\gamma_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ -measurable. The elements  $\beta_n$  and  $\gamma_n$  are quantities of the assets *B* and *S*, respectively, at the moment *n*. The pair  $(\beta_n, \gamma_n)$  is called investment strategy or portfolio. Investment capital of the portfolio  $\pi_n = (\beta_n, \gamma_n)$  is the stochastic sequence  $X^{\pi} = (X_n^{\pi})$ , n = 0, 1, ..., N, which is given with the following relation

$$X_n^{\pi} = \beta_n B_n + \gamma_n S_n$$

The class of strategies  $\pi_n = (\beta_n, \gamma_n)$ , which satisfies the condition

$$\Delta\beta_n B_{n-1} + \Delta\gamma_n S_{n-1} = 0$$

where  $\Delta\beta_n = \beta_n - \beta_{n-1}$ ,  $\Delta\gamma_n = \gamma_n - \gamma_{n-1}$ , is called self-financing and is denoted by *SF*. The capital of self-financing portfolio  $\pi_n = (\beta_n, \gamma_n)$  admits the representation

$$X_n^{\pi} = X_0^{\pi} + \sum_{k=1}^n (\beta_k \Delta B_k + \gamma_k \Delta S_k),$$

where  $\Delta B_k = B_k - B_{k-1}$ ,  $\Delta S_k = S_k - S_{k-1}$ .

Self-financing portfolio  $\pi \in SF$  is referred as a strategy with arbitrage if it implements the arbitrage opportunity of the market in the following sense:

- (a)  $X_0^{\pi} = x \le 0$ ,
- (b)  $X_N^{\pi}(\omega) \ge 0$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,
- (c)  $X_N^{\pi}(\omega) > 0$  for some  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

We denote the class of such portfolios by  $SF_{arb}$ . Arbitrage or arbitrage-free property of financial (B, S) market depends on whether the class  $SF_{arb}$  is empty or not.

Let us consider the probability measure  $P^*$  equivalent to P. The measure  $P^*$  is called as martingale measure or risk-natural measure, if the stochastic sequence  $S_n/B_n$ ,  $n \le N$ , is a martingale with respect to  $P^*$ . We denote the class of such measures by  $\mathbb{P}^*$ . It is interesting to find on the (B,S) market (1), (2) the martingale condition.

**3.** The following theorem defines a martingale criterion for the measure  $P^* \in \mathbb{P}^*$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let in the model (1), (2) of financial (B,S)-market, the deterministic sequence  $r = (r_n)$ ,

n = 0, 1, ..., N, satisfies condition  $r_n > -1$ . Then with respect to probability measure  $P^*$  we have

$$R_n = \frac{S_n}{B_n}$$
 is a martingale  $\Leftrightarrow \sum_{k=0}^n (\rho_k - r_k)$  - is a martingale,

where  $r_k$  is defined by relation (5).

**Proof.** We introduce the following notations [3]

$$U_n = \sum_{k=0}^n r_k, \quad V_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \rho_k.$$

With these values prices of bonds  $B_n$  and stocks  $S_n$  can be written in the form of stochastic exponents

$$B_n = B_0 \mathcal{E}_n(U), \quad S_n = S_0 \mathcal{E}_n(V),$$

where stochastic exponents

$$\mathcal{E}_n\left(U\right) = \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 + \Delta U_k\right), \quad \mathcal{E}_0\left(U\right) = 1,$$
$$\mathcal{E}_n\left(V\right) = \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 + \Delta V_k\right), \quad \mathcal{E}_0\left(V\right) = 1.$$

Further, according to the stochastic exponents properties and by Theorem 2.5 of [3], we can write

$$R_n = \frac{S_n}{B_n} = R_0 \mathcal{E}_n \left( V \right) \mathcal{E}_n^{-1} \left( U \right) = R_0 \mathcal{E}_n \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\Delta V_k - \Delta U_k}{1 + \Delta U_k} \right)$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.5 of [3] it follows that  $R_n$  is a local martingale if and only if when the sequence

$$Q_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \left( \rho_k - r_k \right)$$

is a local martingale. Theorem 1 is proved.

Of course, it is of interest to find out the relationship between arbitration of (B,S)-market and the martingale property of probability measure  $P^* \in \mathbb{P}^*$ . The following theorem, called the fundamental theorem of financial mathematics, gives an answer to the question.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that in the model (1), (2) of financial (B, S)-market, deterministic sequence  $r = (r_n)$  is such, that  $r_n > -1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}^* \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow SF_{arb} = \emptyset.$$

The proof of the implication  $(\Rightarrow)$ . Let  $P^* \in \mathbb{P}^*$ . Then for any self-financing strategy  $\pi \in SF$  we have

$$\Delta X_n^{\pi} = \beta_n \Delta B_n + \gamma_n \Delta S_n = r_n X_{n-1}^{\pi} + \gamma_n S_{n-1} \left( \rho_n - r_n \right)$$

Hence,  $U_n$  is deterministic, it follows from martingale property of  $P^*$  and Theorem 1, that if  $X_0^{\pi} = 0$ , then

$$E^* X_n^{\pi} = \mathcal{E}_n(U) E^* X_0^{\pi} = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} .$$
(6)

Suppose opposite that  $SF_{abr} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\pi \in SF_{abr}$ . Then, since the measures P and P<sup>\*</sup> are equivalent, we obtain  $E^*X_n^{\pi} > 0$ , which contradicts with (6). Implication ( $\Rightarrow$ ) is proved.

**The proof of implication** ( $\Leftarrow$ ). Let  $SF_{abr} = \emptyset$ . Note that the proof of this fact, as in [3], is reduced to the

proof of the following equation

$$E^* \left( \frac{S_\tau}{B_\tau} - \frac{S_0}{B_0} \right) = 0 , \qquad (7)$$

,

where  $\tau = \tau(\omega)$  is the stopping time with values 0, 1, ..., N, and  $(S_n/B_n, \mathcal{F}_n, P^*)$  is a martingale. Indeed, we can chose the stopping time  $\tau^*$  and construct the sequence  $\pi^* = (\pi_n^*)$ , that  $E^* X_N^{\pi^*} = 0$  [3]. Then it is easy to see, that

$$0 = E^* X_N^{\pi^*} = E^* \left( \beta_N^* B_N + \gamma_N^* S_N \right) = B_N E^* \left( \frac{S_{\tau^*}}{B_{\tau^*}} - \frac{S_0}{B_0} \right).$$

Noting that  $B_N \neq 0$ , it proves (7), implication ( $\Leftarrow$ ) and consequently Theorem 2.

**Theorem 3.** Let in the model (1), (2) of financial market (B,S),  $r_n > -1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the measure

$$P^* = \frac{r_n - a}{b - a}$$

is a martingale measure, where  $r_n$  is defined by (5).

Proof. We have

$$E(\rho_n - r_n) = a(1-p) + bp - r_n = (b-a)p - (r_n - a)$$

From this equality we determine the measure  $p^*$  by

$$p^* = \frac{r_n - a}{b - a}$$

and let  $P^*$  be the probability measure corresponding to value  $p^*$ . Then easy to see, that the sequence  $(m_n, \mathcal{F}_n, P^*)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where

$$m_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (\rho_k - r_k)$$

is a martingale. Theorem 3 is proved.

**4.** Here is a numerical example.

Consider the model (1), (2) and suppose, that there are the following initial data:

$$B_0^{(1)} = 30, \quad r^{(1)} = \frac{1}{5}, \quad B_0^{(2)} = 20, \quad r^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}$$
(I)  

$$S_0 = 100, \quad a = -\frac{2}{5}, \quad b = \frac{3}{5}, \quad k = 100.$$

**Example.** Let (1), (2) be the model of financial (B, S) market and numerical data (I) are given. We solve the two-step problem of calculation of the European standard call option

**Solution.** We have N = 2, n = 0, 1, 2, and pay-off is of the following form

$$f_2 = f(S_2) = \max(S_2 - K, 0)$$

Let us calculate the parameters of two-step tree. Then

$$1+r^{(1)} = \frac{6}{5}, \quad r_1 = \frac{8}{25}, \quad p_1^* = \frac{18}{25}, \quad 1+r_1 = \frac{33}{25};$$
$$B_1^{(1)} + B_1^{(2)} = 36 + 30 = 66 = \frac{33}{25} \cdot 50 = 66.$$
$$r_2 = \frac{37}{110}, \quad 1+r_2 = \frac{147}{110}, \quad p_2^* = \frac{81}{110};$$
$$B_2^{(1)} + B_2^{(2)} = \frac{216}{5} + 45 = \frac{441}{5} = \frac{147}{110} \cdot 66 = \frac{441}{5}.$$
$$C_{10} = (1+r_2)^{-1} \left[ p_2^* f_{21} + (1-p_2^*) f_{20} \right] = 0,$$
$$C_{11} = (1+r_2)^{-1} \left[ p_2^* f_{22} + (1-p_2^*) f_{21} \right] = \frac{27 \cdot 156}{49},$$
$$C_2 = (1+r_1)^{-1} \left[ p_1^* C_{11} + (1-p_1^*) C_{10} \right] = \frac{6 \cdot 27 \cdot 156}{11 \cdot 49}$$

In the moment *n*=0 we construct the minimal hedge  $\pi_1^* = (\beta_1^*, \gamma_1^*)$ :

$$\beta_1^* = \frac{(1+b)C_{10} - (1+a)C_{11}}{(1+r_1)(b-a)\left(B_0^{(1)} + B_0^{(2)}\right)} = -\frac{3\cdot 81\cdot 156\cdot 25}{33\cdot 50\cdot 5\cdot 147},$$
  
$$\gamma_1^* = \frac{C_{11} - C_{10}}{(b-a)S_0} = \frac{81\cdot 156}{100\cdot 147}.$$

The corresponding to this hedge initial capital equals

$$X_0^{\pi^*} = \beta_1^* \left( B_0^{(1)} + B_0^{(2)} \right) + \gamma_1^* S_0 = \frac{18 \cdot 81 \cdot 156}{33 \cdot 147} = C_2.$$

For the illustration consider only possible trajectory  $S_0 \rightarrow S_{21} = 160$ .

In the moment n=1 we construct the minimal hedge  $\pi_2^* = (\beta_2^*, \gamma_2^*)$ . For the considered trajectory we have:

$$\beta_{2}^{*} = \frac{(1+b) f_{2,1} - (1+a) f_{2,2}}{(1+r_{2})(b-a) \left(B_{1}^{(1)} + B_{1}^{(2)}\right)} = -\frac{3 \cdot 156 \cdot 110}{5 \cdot 147 \cdot 66},$$
  
$$\gamma_{2}^{*} = \frac{f_{2,2} - f_{2,1}}{(b-a)S_{1,1}} = \frac{156}{160}.$$

The corresponding to this hedge capital of investor in the moments n=1 and n=2 is: in the moment n=1 for  $C_{11}$  we have

$$X_{1}^{\pi^{*}} = \beta_{2}^{*} \left( B_{1}^{(1)} + B_{1}^{(2)} \right) + \gamma_{2}^{*} S_{1,1} = C_{1,1} = \frac{27 \cdot 156}{49},$$

in the moment n=2 for  $S_{2,2}$  and  $S_{2,1}$ 

Bull. Georg. Natl. Acad. Sci., vol. 10, no. 4, 2016

$$\begin{split} X_2^{\pi^*} &= \beta_2^* \left( B_2^{(1)} + B_2^{(2)} \right) + \gamma_2^* S_{2,2} = f_{2,2} = 156, \\ X_2^{\pi^*} &= \beta_2^* \left( B_2^{(1)} + B_2^{(2)} \right) + \gamma_2^* S_{2,1} = f_{2,1} = 0. \end{split}$$

Thus, for the considered trajectory two-step problem of calculation of the European standard call option is solved.

#### მათემატიკა

# ფინანსური ბაზრის ერთი დისკრეტული მოდელის შესახებ

### პ. ბაბილუა\*, ბ. დოჭვირი\*, ზ. ხეჩინაშვილი\*

<sup>\*</sup>ივანე ჯავახიშვილის სახელობის თბილისის სახელმწიფო უნივერსიტეტი, ზუსტ და საბუნებისმეტყველო მეცნიერებათა ფაკულტეტი, მათემატიკის დეპარტამენტი

(წარმოღგენილია აკაღემიის წევრის ე. ნაღარაიას მიერ)

ნაშრომში განხილულია ფინანსური ბაზრის ერთი ღისკრეტული მოღელი, რომელიც ორი ობლიგაციისგან ღა ერთი აქციისგან შეღგება. აგებულია ღროზე ღამოკიღებული საპროცენტო განაკვეთი ღა შესაბამისი მარტინგალური ზომა. ღაღგენილია კავშირი ზომის მარტინგალობასა ღა ფინანსური ბაზრის არბიტრაჟულობას შორის. მოყვანილია ევროპული ოფციონის ფასღაღების ორნაბიჯიანი საილუსტრაციო რიცხვითი მაგალითი.

#### **REFERENCES:**

- 1. Cox J., Ross R., Rubinstein M. (1979) Journal of Financial Economics. 7, 3: 229-263.
- 2. Roll R., Ross S. (1980) Journal of Finance. 35: 1073-1103.
- 3. Mel'nikov A. (1997) Finansovye rinki. M. (in Russian).

Received November, 2016