

Mathematics

Homology and Cohomology Groups of Group Homomorphism

Rezo Katamadze

Shota Rustaveli State University, Batumi

(Presented by Academy Member H. Inassaridze)

ABSTRACT. The Japanese mathematician S. Takasu first constructed homology and cohomology groups of the pair (G, H) of a group and a subgroup by using the embedding $f : H \rightarrow G$. In the present paper, this theory is generalized for any homomorphism of groups and a number of classic results are proved. Cohomology group of the second order is characterized by the use of relative widening. © 2008 Bull. Georg. Natl. Acad. Sci

Key words: groups of homologies and cohomologies.

As is known, groups of homologies and cohomologies of Massey-Takasu for group pairs (G, H) are defined by embedding $f: H \rightarrow G, H < G$ [1].

In our case these definitions are generalized for arbitrary group homomorphism $\varphi : \Pi \rightarrow G$. In particular, homology and cohomology groups of n order for the homomorphism φ are defined and if $\Pi = H$, we get the known theory [2].

Let A be an arbitrary G -module. Then A gets Π -module structure in a natural way. If we consider G -projective resolvent $X \rightarrow Z$ and Π -projective resolvent $Y \rightarrow Z$ (Z is considered to be trivial G -module) over the ring of integral

numbers Z , then there exists a chain transformation $F: Y \rightarrow X$ which generates the mapping $\bar{F} : Y \otimes_{\Pi}^{\varphi} A \rightarrow X \otimes_G A$,

$$\bar{F}(y \otimes a) = F(y) \otimes a.$$

Let us construct the \bar{F} -relative chain complex $N_*(\bar{F}) = \sum_n N_n(\bar{F})$ [1].

Definition 1. Let the homology group of n order over the constructed complex $N_*(\bar{F})$ be called the homology group of n order of homomorphism φ and denote it as follows:

$$H_n(\varphi, A) = H_n(N_*(\bar{F})).$$

Let us consider G -projective resolvent $X \rightarrow Z$ and Π -projective resolvent $Y \rightarrow Z$ over Z . In this case there exists $E: Y \rightarrow X$ which, in its turn, causes mapping $\bar{E} : Hom_G(X, A) \rightarrow Hom_{\Pi}(Y, A)$, $\bar{E}(\alpha) = \alpha \cdot E$, $\alpha \in Hom_G(X, A)$. Let

us construct the \bar{E} -relative cochain complex $N^*(\bar{E}) = \sum_n N^n(\bar{E})$ [2].

Definition 2. Let the homology group of n order over constructed complex $N^*(\bar{E})$ be called the cohomology group of n order of homomorphism φ and denote it as:

$$H^n(\varphi, A) = H_n(N^*(\bar{E}))$$

Definition 3. Tensor product $A \overset{\varphi}{\otimes}_{\Pi} G$ is called Abelian group generated by symbols $a \otimes g$, where $a \in A$, $g \in G$ which are interrelated in the form:

$$(a + a') \otimes g = a \otimes g + a' \otimes g, \quad a \otimes (g + g') = a \otimes g + a \otimes g',$$

$$a \otimes x \cdot g = a \cdot x \otimes g, \quad a, a' \in A, \quad g, g' \in G, \quad z \in \Pi,$$

$$x \cdot g = \varphi(x) \cdot g, \quad ax = a \cdot \varphi(x).$$

Define the group $Hom_{\Pi}^{\varphi}(G, A)$ as the set of all the $\varphi(\Pi)$ -homomorphisms from G into A , i.e.

$$Hom_{\Pi}^{\varphi}(G, A) = \{f : G \rightarrow A \mid f[\varphi(x) \cdot g] = \varphi(x) \cdot f(g)\}$$

The constructed group receives G -module structure in the following way: for arbitrary $g, g' \in G$

$$(g \cdot f)(g') = f(g' \cdot g).$$

Let X and X' be G -projective and Π -projective resolvents respectively over Z . Then for identical mapping over Z there exists mapping $F : X' \rightarrow X$, which, in its turn, assumes

$$\bar{F} : X' \overset{\varphi}{\otimes}_{\Pi} G \rightarrow X, \quad \bar{F}(x' \otimes g) = F(x') \cdot g, \quad x' \in X', \quad g \in G.$$

Define the cylinder of algebraic mapping $M^Z(\bar{F})$ and \bar{F} -relative chain complex $N^Z(\bar{F})$ as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} M_0(\bar{F}) = \left(X'_0 \overset{\varphi}{\otimes}_{\Pi} G \right) \otimes X_0, \\ \partial_0(x'_0 \otimes g, x_0) = 0, \quad (x'_0 \otimes g, x_0) \in M_0(\bar{F}), \\ M_n(\bar{F}) = \left(X'_n \overset{\varphi}{\otimes}_{\Pi} G \right) \otimes \left(X'_{n-1} \overset{\varphi}{\otimes}_{\Pi} G \right) \otimes X_n, \quad n \geq 1, \\ \partial_n(x'_n \otimes g, x'_{n-1} \otimes g', x_n) = ((\partial x'_n) \otimes g - x'_{n-1} \otimes g', -(\partial x'_{n-1}) \otimes g, \partial x_n + \bar{F}(x'_{n-1} \otimes g')), \\ (x'_n \otimes g, x'_{n-1} \otimes g', x_n) \in M_n(\bar{F}), \quad n \geq 2, \\ \partial_1(x'_1 \otimes g, x'_0 \otimes g', x_1) = ((\partial x'_1) \otimes g - x'_0 \otimes g', -\partial x_1 + \bar{F}(x'_0 \otimes g')) \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} N_0(\bar{F}) = X_0, \quad \partial_0 X_0 = 0, \\ N_n(\bar{F}) = \left(X'_{n-1} \overset{\varphi}{\otimes}_{\Pi} G \right) \otimes X_n, \quad n \geq 1, \\ \partial_n(x'_{n-1} \otimes g, x_n) = (-(\partial x'_{n-1}) \otimes g, \partial x_n + \bar{F}(x'_{n-1} \otimes g)), \quad n \geq 1, \\ \partial_1(x'_0 \otimes g, x_1) = \partial x_1 + \bar{F}(x'_0 \otimes g). \end{array} \right.$$

Theorem 1. Cylinder of algebraic mapping $M^Z(\bar{F})$ for \bar{F} is G -projective resolvent over Z .

Proof. Construct the mapping

$$\bar{\varepsilon} : M_0(\bar{F}) \rightarrow Z, \quad \bar{\varepsilon}(x'_0 \otimes g, x_0) = \varepsilon'(x'_0) \cdot g + \varepsilon(x_0),$$

where $\varepsilon': X'_0 \rightarrow Z$ and $\varepsilon: X_0 \rightarrow Z$ are given mappings. Then

$$\bar{\varepsilon}\partial_1(x'_1 \otimes g, x'_0 \otimes g', x_1) = -\varepsilon'(x'_0) \cdot g + \varepsilon(\bar{F}(x'_0 \otimes g')) = -\varepsilon'(x'_0) \cdot g + \varepsilon'(x'_0) \cdot g = 0.$$

Besides, $\text{Ker}(\bar{\varepsilon}) \subset \text{Im} \partial_1$ i.e. if $(x'_0 \otimes g, x_0) \in \text{Ker}(\bar{\varepsilon})$, then $F(x'_0) \cdot g + x_0 \in \text{Ker}(\varepsilon)$ as

$$\bar{\varepsilon}(x'_0 \otimes g, x_0) = \varepsilon'(x'_0) \cdot g + \varepsilon(x_0) = \varepsilon(F(x'_0) \cdot g) + \varepsilon(x_0).$$

From acyclicity X it follows that there exists $x_1 \in X_1$ for which $\partial_1(x_1) = F(x'_0) \cdot g + x_0$. As $\partial_1(0, -x'_0 \otimes g, x_1) = (x'_0 \otimes g, x_0)$, then $(x'_0 \otimes g, x_0) \in \text{Im} \partial_1$. Thus, $\text{Im} \partial_{n+1} = \text{Ker} \partial_n$, $n \geq 1$. Therefore, $H_n(M^Z(\bar{F})) = H_n(X)$, $n \geq 0$. Hence, $M^Z(\bar{F})$ is G -projective resolvent over Z .

Theorem 2. (1). For arbitrary G -module A , $N^Z(\bar{F}) \otimes_G A$ is relative chain complex mapping

$$\Phi: \left(X' \otimes_{\Pi}^{\varphi} G \right) \otimes_G A \rightarrow X \otimes_G A$$

and the following equality takes place:

$$H_n(\varphi, A) = H_n(N^Z(\bar{F}) \otimes_G A);$$

(2). If Y is G -projective resolvent over A , then $N^Z(\bar{F}) \otimes_G Y$ is relative chain complex mapping:

$$\Phi': \left(X' \otimes_{\Pi}^{\varphi} G \right) \otimes_G Y \rightarrow X \otimes_G Y$$

and the equality takes place:

$$H_n(\varphi, A) = H_n(N^Z(\bar{F}) \otimes_G Y).$$

Proof. (1) is evident;

$$\begin{aligned} (2). \quad & \sum_{i+j=n-1} \left(\left(X'_i \otimes_{\Pi}^{\varphi} G \right) \otimes_G Y_j \right) + \sum_{i+j=n} X'_j \otimes_G Y_j = \\ & = X'_0 \otimes_G Y_n + \left(X'_0 \otimes_{\Pi}^{\varphi} G + X_1 \right) \otimes_G Y_{n-1} + \dots + \left(X'_{n-1} \otimes_{\Pi}^{\varphi} G + X_n \right) \otimes_G Y_0 = \sum_{i+j=n} N_i(\bar{F}) \otimes_G Y_j. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3. For each short exact sequence of G -modules and G -module homomorphisms

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

there exists a long exact homology sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow H_n(\varphi, A) \rightarrow H_n(\varphi, B) \rightarrow H_n(\varphi, C) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(\varphi, A) \rightarrow \dots$$

Proof. It is evident that there exist G -projective resolvents X, Y and T respectively over A, B , and C , for which diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & X & \rightarrow & Y & \rightarrow & T & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

is commutative and the rows are exact. As $N^Z(\overline{F})$ is G -projective resolvent, then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N^Z(\overline{F}) \otimes_G X \rightarrow N^Z(\overline{F}) \otimes_G Y \rightarrow N^Z(\overline{F}) \otimes_G T \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. According to Theorem 2 (2) there exists the desired exact sequence.

Theorem 4. *If G is Π -projective module, then*

$$H_n(\varphi, A) \cong \text{Tor}_{n-1}^G(I_{(G, \Pi)}^\varphi(Z), A), \quad n \geq 1,$$

where

$$I_{(G, \Pi)}^\varphi(Z) = \text{Ker} \left(Z \otimes_{\Pi}^{\varphi} G \rightarrow Z \right).$$

Proof. According to the definition the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X' \otimes_{\Pi}^{\varphi} G \rightarrow M^Z(\overline{F}) \rightarrow N^Z(\overline{F}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact and a corresponding homology sequence gives an isomorphism:

$$H_1(N^Z(\overline{F})) \cong \text{Ker} \left(Z \otimes_{\Pi}^{\varphi} G \rightarrow Z \right)$$

and

$$H_n(N^Z(\overline{F})) \cong \text{Tor}_{n-1}^{\Pi}(G, Z), \quad n \geq 2.$$

If G is Π -projective module, then

$$H_n(N^Z(\overline{F})) = \begin{cases} I_{(G, \Pi)}^\varphi(Z), & n = 1 \\ 0, & n \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

Let us construct a chain complex \overline{N} in the following way:

$$\overline{N}_0 = 0, \quad \overline{N}_1 = \text{Ker}(N_1^Z(\overline{F}) \rightarrow N_0^Z(\overline{F})), \quad \overline{N}_n = N_n^Z(\overline{F}), \quad n \geq 2.$$

A boundary operator in \overline{N} will be defined by analogy with the complex $N^Z(\overline{F})$.

Define the mapping

$$\overline{\varepsilon}: \overline{N}_1 \rightarrow Z \otimes_{\Pi}^{\varphi} G, \quad \overline{\varepsilon}(x_0 \otimes g, x_1) = \varepsilon'(x_0') \otimes g,$$

where $\varepsilon': X' \rightarrow X$ is the given mapping.

To prove Theorem 4 it is enough to prove that:

$$(1) \text{Im}(\overline{\varepsilon}) = I_{(G, \Pi)}^\varphi(Z);$$

$$(2) \text{Im}(\partial_2) = \text{Ker}(\overline{\varepsilon});$$

(3) \bar{N}_1 is G -projective module;

(4) $H_n\left(N^Z\left(\bar{F}\right)\otimes_G A\right) \cong H_n\left(\bar{N}\otimes_G A\right).$

Really, (1). Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \bar{N}_2 & \rightarrow & \bar{N}_1 & \xrightarrow{i} & N_1 & \xrightarrow{p} & X'_0 \overset{\varphi}{\otimes}_{\Pi} G & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon'} & Z \overset{\varphi}{\otimes}_{\Pi} G \\ & & & & & & \Big| \bar{F} & & \Big| \alpha \\ & & & & X_1 & \rightarrow & X_0 & \rightarrow & Z \end{array}$$

As $\partial x_1 + \bar{F}(x'_0 \otimes g) = 0$, where $(x'_0 \otimes g, x_1) \in \bar{N}_1 = \text{Ker} \partial_1$ then

$$\alpha \bar{\varepsilon}(x'_0 \otimes g, x_1) = \alpha(\varepsilon'(x'_0) \otimes g) = \varepsilon'(x'_0) \cdot g = \varepsilon \bar{F}(x'_0 \otimes g) = -\varepsilon \partial x_1 = 0.$$

Hence, $\text{Im}(\bar{\varepsilon}) \subset I_{(G, \Pi)}^{\varphi}(Z)$. On the contrary, if $\alpha(n \otimes g) = 0$, then there exists an element $x'_0 \otimes g \in X'_0 \overset{\varphi}{\otimes}_{\Pi} G$ for which $\varepsilon'(x'_0) \otimes g = n \otimes g$. As $\varepsilon \bar{F}(x'_0 \otimes g) = 0$ then there exists $x_1 \in X_1$ for which $\partial x_1 + \bar{F}(x'_0 \otimes g) = 0$. Thus,

$$\bar{\varepsilon}(x'_0 \otimes g, x_1) = \varepsilon'(x'_0) \otimes g = n \otimes g,$$

i.e. $\text{Im}(\bar{\varepsilon}) = I_{(G, \Pi)}^{\varphi}(Z)$.

(2) As $\bar{\varepsilon} \partial_2(x'_1 \otimes g, x_2) = -(\varepsilon' \partial x'_1) \otimes g = 0$, then $\text{Im} \partial_2 \subset \text{Ker}(\bar{\varepsilon})$.

On the contrary, if $\bar{\varepsilon}(x'_0 \otimes g, x_1) = -(\varepsilon' x'_0) \otimes g = 0$, then from Π -projectivity G there exists an element $x'_1 \otimes g \in X'_1 \overset{\varphi}{\otimes}_{\Pi} G$ for which $-\partial x_1 \otimes g = x'_0 \otimes g$. As $(x'_0 \otimes g, x_1) \in \bar{N}_1$, then $\partial x_1 = -\bar{F}(x'_0 \otimes g) = \partial \bar{F}(x'_1 \otimes g)$. Hence, there exists an element $x_2 \in X_2$, for which $\partial x_2 = x_1 - \bar{F}(x'_1 \otimes g)$. Then

$$\partial_2(x'_1 \otimes g, x_2) = (-\partial x'_1 \otimes g, \partial x_2 + \bar{F}(x'_1 \otimes g)) = (x'_0 \otimes g, x_1).$$

Thus, $\text{Im} \partial_2 = \text{Ker}(\bar{\varepsilon})$.

(3) For an arbitrary element $x_0 \in X$ there exists an element $n \otimes g \in Z \overset{\varphi}{\otimes}_{\Pi} G$ for which $\varepsilon(x_0) = \alpha\left(n \otimes g\right)$. Also,

there exists an element $x'_0 \otimes g' \in X'_0 \overset{\varphi}{\otimes}_{\Pi} G$ for which $\varepsilon'(x'_0) \otimes g' = n \otimes g$. As $\varepsilon(\bar{F}(x'_0 \otimes g') - x_0) = 0$, then there exists $x_1 \in X_1$ for which $-\partial x_1 = \bar{F}(x'_0 \otimes g') - x_0$.

Hence, $x_0 = \partial x_1 = \bar{F}(x'_0 \otimes g') \in \text{Im}(\partial_1)$. Thus, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\partial_1) \rightarrow X'_0 \overset{\varphi}{\otimes}_{\Pi} G \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. As X_0 is G -projective, then this sequence is released, i.e. $\text{Ker}(\partial_1)$ is G -projective

(4) Construct a chain complex $-\bar{N} = N(\bar{F})/\bar{N}$ in the following way:

$$\overline{N}_n = 0, n \geq 2; \partial_n = 0, n \geq 2; \overline{N}_1 = N_1(\overline{F})/\overline{N}_1, \overline{N}_0 = N_0.$$

For boundary operator ∂_1 we get an isomorphism $\overline{N}_1 \approx \overline{N}_0$. Hence, $H_n(\overline{N} \otimes_G A) = 0$. On the other hand, from (3) it follows that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{N} \rightarrow N \rightarrow \overline{N} \rightarrow 0$$

is decomposable and we get an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{N} \otimes_G A \rightarrow N \otimes_G A \rightarrow \overline{N} \otimes_G A \rightarrow 0.$$

From the sequence of homology of groups we get

$$H_n(\overline{N} \otimes_G A) = 0.$$

Thus, an isomorphism takes place:

$$H_n(\overline{N} \otimes_G A) \cong H_n(N^Z(\overline{F}) \otimes_G A).$$

Corollary. *If $\Pi=H$ is a subgroup in G , then*

$$H_n(\varphi, A) = H_n(G, H, A),$$

where $H_n(G, H, A)$ is relative to the group of homologies of n order of Massey-Takasu [2].

Proof. It is evident that if $H < G$, then

$$Z[G] = \otimes_{G/H} Z[H],$$

i.e. $Z[G]$ is a free $Z[H]$ -module and, moreover, $Z[H]$ -projective module.

Theorem 5. *If G is a Π -projective module, then*

$$H^n(\varphi, A) \cong \text{Ext}_G^{n-1}(I_{(G, \Pi)}^\varphi(Z), A), n \geq 1.$$

Proof. Analogously to Theorem 4.

Corollary. *If $\Pi=H$ is a subgroup in G , then*

$$H^n(\varphi, A) = H^n(G, H, A),$$

where $H^n(G, H, A)$ is relative to the group of cohomologies of n order of Massey-Takasu [2].

We should note that for G -module A it is possible to construct φ -relative injective resolvent in a dual way. For this, let us consider G -injective and Π -injective resolvents Y and Y' over A . Then there exists mapping $E: Y \rightarrow Y'$. Let

$$N^0(\overline{E}) = Y^0, \delta(y) = (E(y), \delta(y)),$$

$$N^n(\overline{E}) = \text{Hom}_\Pi^\varphi(G, y'^{n-1}) \otimes Y^n,$$

$$\delta(\alpha', y) = (-\partial\alpha' + \overline{E}(y), \delta(y)), (\alpha', y) \in N^n(\overline{E}),$$

where $\overline{E}: Y \rightarrow Y' = \text{Hom}_\Pi^\varphi(G, Y')$ is G -mapping, which is defined as: $\overline{E}(y)(g) = E(g \cdot y)$. Then the following Theorem is true.

Theorem 6. If G is a Π -projective module, then

$$H^n(\varphi, A) \cong \text{Ext}_G^{n-1}(Z, j_{(G, \Pi)}^\varphi(A)),$$

where $j_{(G, \Pi)}^\varphi(A) = \text{Coker}(A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Pi^\varphi(G, A))$.

Corollary. If $\Pi=H$ is a subgroup in G , then

$$H^n(\varphi, A) = H^n(G, H, j_{(G, \Pi)}^\varphi(A)).$$

Let X and X' G -projective and Π -projective resolvents respectively over Z . Construct a cochain complex N in the following way:

$$N^n = \text{Hom}_\Pi(X'_{n-1}, A) \otimes \text{Hom}_G(X_n, A),$$

$$\delta(\alpha, \beta) = (-\partial^1 \alpha + \text{Re } sg, \delta\beta), \quad (\alpha, \beta) \in N^n.$$

It is easy to check that $H^n(\varphi, A) = H^n(N)$. $(\alpha, \beta) \in Z^2(N)$ is a two-dimensional cocycle if and only if the equality takes place:

$$g_1\beta(g_2, g_3) + \beta(g_1, g_2g_3) = \beta(g_1, g_2) + \beta(g_1g_2, g_3),$$

where $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$ and

$$\text{Res}(x, x') = x\alpha(x') - \alpha(xx') + \alpha(x),$$

where $x, x' \in \Pi$.

$(\alpha, \beta) \in B^2(N)$ is coboundary if and only if the following conditions are fulfilled:

$$\beta(g_1, g_2) = g_1\beta(g_2) - h(g_1g_2) + h(g_1), \quad g_1, g_2 \in G,$$

$$\alpha(x) = x\alpha - a + \text{Re } sh(x), \quad a \in A, \quad x \in \Pi$$

and h is a fixed element from $\text{Hom}_G(X_1, A)$, $a \in A$ is also a fixed element.

Definition 4. For G -module A φ -widening is called the three $(\overline{G}, \overline{\Pi}, p)$, for which the following condition is true:

- (1) G is a multiplicative group, in which A is a subgroup;
- (2) $p: \overline{G} \rightarrow G$ is epimorphism, for which $\text{Ker}(p)=A$ (i.e. p isomorphism $i: \overline{G}/A \cong G$);
- (3) $ea e^{-1} = p(e)$, where $e \in \overline{G}$, and $p(e)$ is the right G -operator over A ;
- (4) $\overline{\Pi}$ is a subgroup in \overline{G} , for which $p|_{\overline{\Pi}}: \overline{\Pi} \cong \Pi$.

Definition 5. For G -module A , φ -widened $(\overline{G}_1, \overline{\Pi}_1, p_1)$ and $(\overline{G}_2, \overline{\Pi}_2, p_2)$ are called equivalent, if there exists a group isomorphism $t: \overline{G}_1 \cong \overline{G}_2$, for which $t|_A$ is identical, $t|_{\overline{\Pi}_1}: \overline{\Pi}_1 \cong \overline{\Pi}_2$ and $p_2 \cdot t = p_1$.

Let $(\overline{G}, \overline{\Pi}, p)$ be φ -widening of G -module A . Consider a complete system of representatives of cosets in the factor-group \overline{G}/A and define mapping $q: G \rightarrow \overline{G}$ which represents an element $g \in G$ in $i^{-1}(g) \in \overline{G}/A$. Evidently, $p \cdot q = 1_G$ and $g \cdot a = q(g) \cdot a \cdot q(g)^{-1}$, $g \in G$, $a \in A$. Define cocycle $\beta \in Z^2(G, A)$ as follows:

$$q(g_1) \cdot q(g_2) = \beta(g_1, g_2) \cdot q(g_1, g_2), \quad g_1, g_2 \in G, \quad \beta(g_1, g_2) \in A,$$

$$\beta(g_1, g_2) + \beta(g_1g_2, g_3) = g_1\beta(g_2, g_3) + \beta(g_1, g_2g_3), \quad g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G.$$

As $(\overline{G}, \overline{\Pi}, p)$ is φ -widening of G -module A , therefore for each element $x \in \Pi$ there exists $\alpha(x) \in A$ for which $q(x) = \alpha(x) \cdot \overline{x}$, where $x = p(\overline{x})$. From (1) it follows that a and b are interrelated in the following way:

$$\beta(x, x') = \alpha(x) + x \cdot \alpha(x') - \alpha(xx'), \quad x, x' \in \Pi.$$

If we consider another system of representatives $r : G \rightarrow G'$ and define a cocycle $\overline{\beta} \in Z^2(G, A)$ in a similar way over the definite cocycle $\beta \in Z^2(G, A)$, then cocycles β and $\overline{\beta}$ will be interrelated in the following way:

$$\overline{\beta}(g_1, g_2) = \beta(g_1, g_2) + h(g_1) + g_1 h(g_2) - h(g_1 \cdot g_2), \quad (2)$$

$g_1, g_2 \in G$ and h is defined by the equality: $r(g) = h(g) \cdot q(g)$, $g \in G$ and $h(g) \in A$. If $t : (\overline{G}_1, \overline{\Pi}_1, p_1) \approx (\overline{G}_2, \overline{\Pi}_2, p_2)$ is equivalency of φ -widening of G -module A , and $q : G \rightarrow \overline{G}_1$ and $r : G \rightarrow \overline{G}_2$, then β and $\overline{\beta}$, which are defined according to mapping q and r , must satisfy the equality (2), where h is defined by the following condition: $r(g) = h(g) \cdot t(q(g))$. On the other hand, for φ -widening $(\overline{G}, \overline{\Pi}, p)$ of G -module A , an equivalent φ -widening $(\overline{G}', \overline{\Pi}', p')$ is defined which satisfies the condition: $\overline{\Pi}' = a^{-1} \overline{\Pi} a$ for each fixed element $a \in A$.

Let $t : (\overline{G}_1, \overline{\Pi}_1, p_1) \approx (\overline{G}_2, \overline{\Pi}_2, p_2)$ is an equivalency of φ -widening of G -module A . Then

$$t \cdot q(x) = \alpha(x) \cdot t(\overline{x}) = \alpha(x) \cdot a^{-1} \cdot \overline{x} \cdot a = \alpha(x) a^{-1} \overline{x} a^{-1} \overline{x} = \alpha(x) a^{-1} (xa) \overline{x},$$

i.e. there exists α in $(\overline{G}_2, \overline{\Pi}_2, p_2)$ for which

$$\overline{\alpha}(x) = \alpha(x) - a + xa, \quad x \in \Pi.$$

But such change of α does not influence cocycle β , i.e. $\beta(x, x') = \overline{\beta}(x, x')$.

Thus, the class of equivalency of each φ -widening of G -module A unambiguously defines the cohomology class in $H^2(\varphi, A)$. On the contrary, it is possible to construct for $(\alpha, \beta) \in Z^2(\varphi, A)$ φ -widening $(\overline{G}, \overline{\Pi}, p)$ and if (α, β) and (α', β') are cohomologic, then we get an equivalent φ -widening $(\overline{G}_1, \overline{\Pi}_1, p_1)$ and $(\overline{G}_2, \overline{\Pi}_2, p_2)$.

Hence we conclude that the following theorem is true.

Theorem 7. *Between the group $H^2(\varphi, A)$ and the set of equivalent classes of φ -widening of G -module A there exists mutual unambiguous correlation.*

მათემატიკა

ჯგუფური ჰომომორფიზმის ჰომოლოგიური და კოჰომოლოგიური ჯგუფები

რ. ქათამაძე

შოთა რუსთაველის სახელმწიფო უნივერსიტეტი, ბათუმი

(წარმოდგენილია აკადემიის წევრის ხ. ინასარიძის მიერ)

ცნობილი იაპონელი მათემატიკოსის ს. ტაკასუს მიერ პირველად იქნა აგებული ჯგუფისა და ქვეჯგუფის (G, H) წყვილის ჰომოლოგიური და კოჰომოლოგიური ჯგუფები $f: H \rightarrow G$ ჩადგმის გამოყენებით. წინამდებარე ნაშრომში განხილულია ეს თეორია ჯგუფთა ნებისმიერი ჰომომორფიზმის შემთხვევაში და მისთვის დამტკიცებულ იქნა მთელი რიგი კლასიკური შედეგები. აქვეა მოცემული მეორე რიგის კოჰომოლოგიური ჯგუფის დანახაობა ფარდობითი გაფართოებების საშუალებით.

REFERENCES

1. S. Takasu (1957), J. Math. Soc. Japan, 9:315-329.
2. S. Takasu (1959), J.Fac.Sci. Univ. Tokyo. Sect.I, 8:75-110.

Received January, 2008