

*Mathematics*

## On the Power of the Goodness-of-Fit Test Based on Wolverton-Wagner Distribution Density Estimates

Elizbar Nadaraya\*, Petre Babilua\*\*

\* Academy Member, I. Javakishvili Tbilisi State University

\*\* I. Javakishvili Tbilisi State University

**ABSTRACT.** A goodness-of-fit test is constructed by using a Wolverton-Wagner distribution density estimate. The question as to its consistency is studied. The power asymptotics of the constructed goodness-of-fit test is also studied for certain types of close alternatives. © 2008 Bull. Georg. Natl. Acad. Sci.

*Key words:* Distribution density, goodness-of-fit test, power, consistency, limit distribution.

1. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a sequence of independent, equally distributed random variables with values in a Euclidean  $p$ -dimensional space  $R_p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , which have the distribution density  $f(x)$ ,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$ . Using the sampling  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , it is required to test the hypothesis

$$H_0 : f = f_0.$$

We consider the verification test of the hypothesis  $H_0$ , based on the statistics

$$U_n = na_n^{-p} \int (f_n(x) - f_0(x))^2 r(x) dx,$$

where  $f_n(x)$  is a kernel estimate of the Wolverton-Wagner probability density,

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p K(a_i(x - X_i)),$$

$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  is a sequence of positive numbers monotonically converging to infinity.

Let us formulate the conjectures concerning  $K(x)$  and  $f(x)$ .

1<sup>o</sup>. The kernel  $K(x) = \prod_{j=1}^p K_j(x_j)$  and every kernel  $K_j(u)$  possesses the following properties:

$$0 \leq K_j(u) \leq c < \infty, \quad K_j(u) = K_j(-u), \quad u^2 K_j(u) \in L_1(-\infty, \infty), \\ \int K_j(u) du = 1, \quad K_j^0(ux) \geq K_j^0(x) \quad \text{for all } u \in [0, 1] \text{ and all } x \in R_1 = (-\infty, \infty),$$

where  $K_j^0 = K_j * K_j$ ;  $*$  is the convolution operator.

2<sup>o</sup>. The distribution density  $f(x)$  is bounded and has bounded partial derivatives up to second order.

We have proved the following theorem ([1]-[3]).

**Theorem 1.** Let  $K(x)$  and  $f(x)$  satisfy conjectures  $1^0$  and  $2^0$ , respectively, and, besides, let the second order partial derivatives of the function  $f(x)$  belong to  $L_1(R_p)$ . If

$$\frac{a_n^p}{n} \rightarrow 0, \quad \frac{\gamma_s(n)}{a_n^{sp}} \rightarrow \gamma_s, \quad s = 1, 2 \quad (0 < \gamma_2 \leq \gamma_1 \leq 1)$$

and also

$$\frac{\gamma_1(n)}{a_n^p} = \gamma_1 + o(a_n^{-p/2}), \quad (na_n^{p/2})^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{p-2} \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$(na_n^{p/2})^{-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{-2} \right)^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} a_n^{p/2} \sigma_n^{-1}(f_0) (U_n - \Theta(f_0)) &\xrightarrow{d} N(0,1), \\ \gamma_s(n) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{ps}, \quad s = 1, 2, \quad \Theta(f_0) = \gamma_1 \int f(x)r(x) dx \int K^2(u) du, \\ \sigma_n^2(f_0) &= a_n^{-p} d_n^2(f_0), \\ d_n^2(f_0) &= \frac{2}{n^2} \iint f_0^2(x) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p K_0(a_i(x-y)) \right)^2 r(x)r(y) dx dy, \quad K_0 = K * K. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$2\gamma_1^2 \int f_0^2(x)r^2(x) dx \int K_0^2(u) du \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^2(f_0) \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^2(f_0) \leq 2\gamma_1 \int f_0^2(x)r^2(x) dx \int K_0^2(u) du. \quad (1)$$

Theorem 1 allows us to construct a goodness-of-fit test of asymptotic level  $\alpha$  for checking the hypothesis  $H_0$  by which  $f(x) = f_0(x)$ . After that we calculate  $U_n$  and reject the hypothesis  $H_0$  if

$$U_n \geq d_n(\alpha) = \gamma_1 \int f_0(x)r(x) dx \int K^2(u) du + \lambda_{\alpha} a_n^{-p/2} \sigma_n(f_0), \quad (2)$$

where  $\lambda_{\alpha}$  is a quantile of level  $\alpha$  of a standard normal distribution.

**2.** Now we will investigate the asymptotic property of test (2) (i.e. the behavior of the power function as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

In the first place we consider the question whether the test is consistent. The following statement is true.

**Theorem 2.** Let all the conditions of Theorem 1 be fulfilled. Then

$$\Pi_n(f_1) = P_{H_1} \{U_n \geq d_n(\alpha)\} \rightarrow 1$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e. the test defined in (2) is consistent against any alternative  $H_1: f_1(x) \neq f_0(x)$ ,

$$\Delta = \int (f_1(x) - f_0(x))^2 r(x) dx > 0.$$

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_n(f_1) &= P_{H_1} \left\{ n a_n^{-p} \int (f_n(x) - f_0(x))^2 r(x) dx \geq \Theta(f_0) + \sigma_n(f_0) a_n^{-p/2} \lambda_{\alpha} \right\} = \\ &= P_{H_1} \left\{ n a_n^{-p} \int (f_n(x) - f_1(x))^2 r(x) dx \geq \Theta(f_0) + \sigma_n(f_0) a_n^{-p/2} \lambda_{\alpha} - n a_n^{-p} \Delta - 2 \int (f_n(x) - f_1(x)) \varphi(x) r(x) dx \cdot \frac{n}{a_n^p} \right\} = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= P_{H_1} \left\{ \frac{a_n^{p/2} (U_n^{(1)} - \Theta(f_1))}{\sigma_n(f_1)} \geq \right. \\
 &\geq -a_n^{p/2} \frac{\Theta(f_1)}{\sigma_n(f_1)} + \frac{a_n^{p/2}}{\sigma_n(f_1)} \left( \Theta(f_1) + \sigma_n(f_0) a_n^{-p/2} \lambda_\alpha - \frac{n}{a_n^p} \Delta - 2 \int (f_n(x) - f_1(x)) r(x) dx \cdot \frac{n}{a_n^p} \right) \Big\} = \\
 &= P_{H_1} \left\{ a_n^{p/2} \sigma_n^{-1}(f_1) (U_n^{(1)} - \Theta(f_1)) \geq \right. \\
 &\geq -\frac{n}{a_n^{p/2}} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_n(f_1)} \Delta + (\Theta(f_1) - \Theta(f_0)) \frac{a_n^p}{n \sigma_n(f_1)} + 2 \sigma_n^{-1}(f_1) \int (f_n(x) - f_1(x)) \varphi(x) dx \right) \Big\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_n^{(1)} &= n a_n^{-p} \int (f_n(x) - f_1(x))^2 r(x) dx, \\
 \varphi(x) &= (f_1(x) - f_0(x)) r(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we will show that  $\int (f_n(x) - f_1(x)) \varphi(x) dx \xrightarrow{P} 0$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int (f_n(x) - f_1(x)) \varphi(x) dx &= B_{1n} + B_{2n}, \\
 B_{1n} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j, \quad \xi_j = \frac{a_j^p}{n} \int [K(a_j(x - X_j)) - E_1 K(a_j(x - X_j))] \varphi(x) dx, \\
 B_{2n} &= \int \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^p E_1 K(a_j(x - X_j)) - f_1(x) \right) \varphi(x) dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $E_1(\cdot)$  is expectation for the hypothesis  $H_1$ .

By virtue of the assumption, for  $f_1(x)$  and  $K(x)$  we obtain

$$B_{2n} = O\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{-2}\right). \tag{3}$$

Next, it can be easily checked that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{j=1}^n D \xi_j &= n^{-2} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \int f_1(t) dt \left( \int K(u) \varphi\left(t + \frac{u}{a_j}\right) \right)^2 - \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \int f_1(x) dx \int K(u) \varphi\left(x + \frac{u}{a_j}\right) \right)^2 \right\} \sim \\
 &\sim n^{-1} \left( \int \varphi^2(x) f_1(x) dx - \left( \int \varphi(x) f_1(x) dx \right)^2 \right) \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n E_1 |\xi_k - M \xi_k|^{2+\delta}}{\left( \sum_{k=1}^n D \xi_k \right)^{1+\delta/2}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{B_{1n}}{\sqrt{DB_{1n}}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1). \tag{5}$$

But

$$\int (f_n(x) - f_1(x))\varphi(x)dx = \left[ \frac{B_{1n}}{\sqrt{DB_{1n}}} \right] \sqrt{DB_{1n}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{-2}\right)$$

Hence (3), (4) and (5) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_n(f_1) &= P_{H_1} \left\{ a_n^{1/2} \left( \frac{U_n^{(1)} - \Theta(f_1)}{\sigma_n(f_1)} \right) \geq -\frac{n}{a_n^{p/2}} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_n(f_1)} \Delta + \frac{1}{\sigma_n(f_1)} \left( \frac{B_{1n}}{\sqrt{DB_{1n}}} \right) \sqrt{DB_{1n}} + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + O\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{-2} \right) + (\Theta(f_0) - \Theta(f_1)) \frac{a_n^p}{n} + \frac{\sigma_n(f_0)}{\sigma_n(f_1)} \frac{a_n^{p/2}}{n} \lambda_\alpha \right) \right\} = \\ &= P_{H_1} \left\{ a_n^{p/2} \frac{U_n^{(1)} - \Theta(f_1)}{\sigma_n(f_1)} \geq -\frac{n}{a_n^{p/2}} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_n(f_1)} \Delta + o_p(1) \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a_n^{p/2} \sigma_n^{-1}(f_1) (U_n^{(1)} - \Theta(f_1))$  has an asymptotically normal distribution (0,1) for the hypothesis  $H_1$ ,  $na_n^{-p/2} \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{-2} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $DB_{1n} = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$  and  $0 < c_0 \leq \sigma_n(f_j) \leq c_1 < \infty$ ,  $j=0,1$ , we have  $\Pi_n(f_1) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Thus for any fixed alternative the power of a test based on  $U_n$  tends to 1 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . However, if with a change of  $n$  the alternative changes so that it tends to the basic hypothesis  $H_0$ , then the power of the goodness-of-fit test will not necessarily tend to 1. This certainly depends on the convergence of the tendency of the alternative to the zero hypothesis.

Let us assume now that the hypothesis  $H_0$  we are checking is not true; actually, we have the hypothesis

$$H_n: f_{1n}(x) = f_0(x) + \gamma_n \varphi(x) + o(\gamma_n), \quad \gamma_n \downarrow 0, \quad x \in R_1 = (-\infty, \infty), \quad \int \varphi(x)dx = 0.$$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $K(x)$  and  $f_{1n}(x)$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1. If  $a_n = n^\delta$  and  $\gamma_n = n^{-1/2+\delta/4}$ ,

$\frac{2}{9} < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} P_{H_n} \{U_n \geq d_n(\alpha)\} &\sim 1 - \Phi\left(\lambda_\alpha - \frac{1}{\sigma_n(f_0)} \int \varphi^2(u)r(u)du\right), \\ \Phi(u) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^u \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2}\right\} dx \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{H_n} \{U_n \geq d_n(\alpha)\} = \\ &= P_{H_n} \left\{ \frac{a^{1/2} (U_n^{(1)} - \Theta(f_{1n}))}{\sigma_n(f_{1n})} \frac{\sigma_n(f_{1n})}{\sigma_n(f_0)} \geq \lambda_\alpha + (\Theta(f_0) - \Theta(f_{1n})) \frac{a_n^{1/2}}{\sigma_n(f_0)} - a_n^{1/2} \frac{A_{n1}}{\sigma_n(f_0)} + \frac{a_n^{1/2} A_{n2}}{\sigma_n(f_0)} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n1} &= \frac{n}{a_n} \int (f_{1n}(x) - f_0(x))^2 r(x) dx, \\ A_{n2} &= 2 \frac{n}{a_n} \int (f_n(x) - f_{1n}(x))(f_{1n}(x) - f_0(x)) r(x) dx, \\ U_n^{(1)} &= \frac{n}{a_n} \int (f_n(x) - f_{1n}(x))^2 r(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check

$$\frac{\sigma_n^2(f_{1n})}{\sigma_n^2(f_0)} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{6}$$

By virtue of Theorem 1, for  $\frac{2}{9} < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$  it can be easily checked that

$$\frac{U_n^{(1)} - \Theta(f_{1n})}{\sigma_n(f_{1n})} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1). \tag{7}$$

Further, since  $\frac{2}{9} < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$ , from (1) we obtain

$$a_n^{1/2} (\Theta(f_0) - \Theta(f_{1n})) \sigma_n^{-1}(f_0) \rightarrow 0 \tag{8}$$

and, moreover,

$$\frac{a_n^{1/2}}{\sigma_n(f_0)} A_{n1} = \frac{n}{\sigma_n(f_0) a_n^{1/2}} \gamma_n^2 \int \varphi^2(x) r(x) dx + o(1) = \frac{1}{\sigma_n(f_0)} \int \varphi^2(u) r(u) du + o(1). \tag{9}$$

Denote

$$B_{n1} = \frac{n}{a_n} \int |(f_n(x) - E_1 f_n(x))(f_{1n}(x) - f_0(x)) r(x)| dx,$$

$$B_{n2} = \frac{n}{a_n} \int |E_1 f_n(x) - f_{1n}(x)| \cdot |f_{1n}(x) - f_0(x)| r(x) dx.$$

It is not difficult to establish that

$$E_1 f_n(x) - f_{1n}(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{-2}\right).$$

Hence it follows that

$$\frac{a_n^{1/2}}{\sigma_n(f_0)} B_{n2} \leq c \frac{n}{\sigma_n(f_0) a_n^{1/2}} O\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{-2}\right) \gamma_n = O\left(n^{\frac{2-9\delta}{4}}\right), \quad \delta > \frac{2}{9}. \tag{10}$$

Now let us consider  $B_{n1}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_n^{1/2}}{\sigma_n(f_0)} E|B_{n1}| &\leq 2 \frac{n}{\sigma_n(f_0) a_n^{1/2}} E \int |(f_n(x) - E_1 f_n(x)) \cdot (f_{1n}(x) - f_0(x)) r(x)| dx \leq \\ &\leq 2 \frac{1}{\sigma_n(f_0) a_n^{1/2}} \frac{n}{n^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \int f_{1n}(y) dy \left( \int K(a_k(x-y)) (f_{1n}(x) - f_0(x)) r(x) dx \right)^2 \right\}^{1/2} \leq \\ &\leq c_2 \frac{1}{\sigma_n(f_0) a_n^{1/2}} \frac{n}{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \gamma_n^2 \right\}^{1/2} \leq c_3 \sqrt{n} a_n^{-1/2} \gamma_n = c_4 n^{-\delta/4} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

From (10) and (11) it follows that

$$\frac{a_n^{1/2}}{\sigma_n(f_0)} A_{n2} = O\left(n^{\frac{2-9\delta}{4}}\right) + O\left(n^{-\delta/4}\right). \tag{12}$$

By combining relations (7), (8), (9) and (12) we finally obtain

$$P_{H_n} \{U_n \geq d_n(\alpha)\} \sim 1 - \Phi \left( \lambda_\alpha - \frac{1}{\sigma_n(f_0)} \int \varphi^2(u) r(u) du \right)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Remark 1.** If the smoothness parameter  $a_k \equiv a_n$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , then by Theorem 2 we obtain an analogous limit power function for the alternative  $H_n$  of the Rosenblatt-Bickel goodness-of-fit test [4]:

$$P_{H_n} \{T_n \geq d_n^{(1)}(\alpha)\} \sim 1 - \Phi \left( \lambda_\alpha - \frac{1}{\sigma_0} \int \varphi^2(x) r(x) dx \right),$$

$$T_n = \frac{n}{a_n} \int (f_n(x) - f_0(x))^2 r(x) dx,$$

where  $f_n(x)$  is an estimate of the Rosenblatt-Parzen density,

$$d_n^{(1)}(\alpha) = \int f_0(x) r(x) dx \int K^2(u) du + a_n^{-1/2} \lambda_\alpha \sigma_0,$$

$$\sigma_0^2 = 2 \int f_0^2(x) r^2(x) dx \int K_0^2(u) du.$$

If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^2(f_0) = \sigma_1^2$ , then inequality (1) implies that  $\gamma_1^2 \sigma_0^2 \leq \sigma_1^2 \leq \gamma_1 \sigma_0^2$ ,  $0 < \gamma_1 \leq 1$ . Therefore, the test based on  $U_n$  for the alternatives  $H_n$  and  $\gamma_1 \neq 1$ , goodness-of-fit tests based on  $T_n$  are more powerful and, moreover, they are asymptotically equivalent for  $\gamma_1 = 1$ .

**3.** Let us now introduce into the consideration the alternatives we call ‘‘singular’’ ([5], [6]):

$$H_n : f_{1n}(x) = f_0(x) + \alpha_n \varphi \left( \frac{x-l}{\gamma_n} \right) + o(\alpha_n \cdot \gamma_n),$$

where  $\alpha_n \downarrow 0$ ,  $\gamma_n \downarrow 0$ , the function  $\varphi(x)$  is bounded and has bounded derivatives up to second order,  $\varphi^{(2)}(x) \in L_1(-\infty, \infty)$  and  $\int \varphi(x) dx = 0$ ,  $l$  is some continuity point of  $r(x)$  such that  $r(l) \neq 0$  (see also [8]).

**Theorem 4.** Let  $K(x)$  and  $f_{1n}(x)$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1. If

$$\alpha_n \cdot \gamma_n = o(n^{-1/2}), \quad n a_n^{-1/2} \alpha_n^2 \gamma_n \rightarrow c_0 \neq 0, \quad \frac{1}{\alpha_n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{-2} \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$\gamma_n^{-2} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{-4} \right)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0,$$

then

$$P_{H_n} \{U_n \geq d_n(\alpha)\} \sim 1 - \Phi \left( \lambda_\alpha - \frac{c_0 r(l)}{\sigma_n(f_0)} \int \varphi^2(u) du \right)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof.** We write  $U_n$  as a sum

$$U_n = U_n^{(1)} + A_{n1} + A_{n2},$$

where

$$U_n^{(1)} = \frac{n}{a_n} \int (f_n(x) - f_{1n}(x))^2 r(x) dx,$$

$$A_{n1} = \frac{n}{a_n} \int (f_{1n}(x) - f_0(x))^2 r(x) dx,$$

$$A_{n2} = 2 \frac{n}{a_n} \int (f_n(x) - f_{1n}(x))(f_{1n}(x) - f_0(x)) r(x) dx.$$

Therefore

$$P_{H_n} \{U_n \geq d_n(\alpha)\} = P_{H_1} \left\{ \frac{a_n^{1/2} (U_n^{(1)} - \Theta(f_{1n}))}{\sigma_n(f_{1n})} \frac{\sigma_n(f_{1n})}{\sigma_n(f_0)} \geq \lambda_\alpha + (\Theta(f_0) - \Theta(f_{1n})) \frac{a_n^{1/2}}{\sigma_n(f_0)} - a_n^{1/2} \frac{A_{n1}}{\sigma_n(f_0)} + \frac{a_n^{1/2} A_{n2}}{\sigma_n(f_0)} \right\}.$$

Analogously to (6) we have

$$\frac{\sigma_n(f_n)}{\sigma_n(f_0)} \rightarrow 1. \tag{13}$$

Further, by virtue of Theorem 1, we have

$$P_{H_n} \left\{ \frac{a_n^{1/2} (U_n^{(1)} - \Theta(f_{1n}))}{\sigma_n(f_{1n})} < x \right\} \rightarrow \Phi(x) \tag{14}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let us now show that  $\frac{a_n^{1/2} A_{n2}}{\sigma_n(f_0)} \rightarrow 0$ . We have

$$a_n^{1/2} E|A_{n2}| \leq 2n a_n^{-1/2} E \left| \int (f_n(x) - E f_{1n}(x))(f_{1n}(x) - f_0(x)) r(x) dx \right| + 2n a_n^{-1/2} \int |E f_n(x) - f_{1n}(x)| \cdot |f_{1n}(x) - f_0(x)| r(x) dx,$$

and also

$$n a_n^{-1/2} \int |E f_n(x) - f_{1n}(x)| \cdot |f_{1n}(x) - f_0(x)| r(x) dx = O \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{-2} \right).$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} n a_n^{-1/2} E \left| \int (f_n(x) - E f_n(x))(f_{1n}(x) - f_0(x)) r(x) dx \right| &\leq \\ &\leq n a_n^{-1/2} \left\{ \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \int f(u) du \left[ \int K(a_k(x-u))(f_{1n}(x) - f_0(x)) r(x) dx \right]^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq c_5 n \alpha_n a_n^{-1/2} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \int f(u) \varphi^2 \left( \frac{u-l}{\gamma_n} \right) du + \frac{1}{\gamma_n^4 n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{-4} \int f(u) du \left[ \int_0^1 \int_0^1 t^2 K(t) \varphi^{(2)} \left( \frac{u-l}{\gamma_n} + \frac{zt}{a_k \gamma_n} \right) dt dz \right]^2 \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using the generalized Minkowski's inequality [7], we obtain

$$n a_n^{-1/2} E \left| \int (f_n(x) - E f_n(x))(f_{1n}(x) - f_0(x)) r(x) dx \right| \leq c_6 a_n^{-1/4} + c_7 \gamma_n^{-2} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{-4} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Thus

$$a_n^{1/2} E|A_{n2}| = O(a_n^{-1/4}) + O \left( \gamma_n^{-2} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{-4} \right)^{1/2} \right) + O \left( \alpha_n^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{-2} \right) \tag{15}$$

From the condition  $n \alpha_n^2 a_n^{-1/2} \gamma_n \rightarrow c_0 \neq 0$  it is not difficult to establish that

$$\begin{aligned}
a_n^{1/2} A_{1n} &= n a_n^{-1/2} \int (f_{1n}(x) - f_0(x))^2 r(x) dx = n a_n^{-1/2} \alpha_n^2 \gamma_n \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \int \varphi^2\left(\frac{x-l}{\gamma_n}\right) r(x) dx \rightarrow \\
&\rightarrow c_0 r(l) \int \varphi^2(u) du,
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and likewise it is easy to see that

$$(\Theta(f_0) - \Theta(f_{1n})) \frac{a_n^{1/2}}{\sigma_n(f_0)} = o\left(\sqrt{\frac{a_n}{n}}\right). \tag{17}$$

Finally, (13), (14), (15), (16) and (17) imply

$$P_{H_n} \{U_n \geq d_n(\alpha)\} \sim 1 - \Phi\left(\lambda_\alpha - \frac{c_0 r(l)}{\sigma_n(f_0)} \int \varphi^2(u) du\right).$$

The conditions of Theorem 4 for  $a_n$ ,  $\alpha_n$ ,  $\gamma_n$  are fulfilled if, say, we assume that  $a_n = n^\delta$ ,  $\alpha_n = n^{-\alpha}$ ,  $\gamma_n = n^{-\beta}$  for  $\frac{\delta}{2} = 1 - 2\alpha - \beta$ ,  $\alpha + \beta > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\beta < \delta$ ,  $\delta > \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , while the conditions imposed on  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\delta$  are fulfilled, for example, for

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta &= \frac{1}{2}, & \beta &= \frac{5}{12}, & \alpha &= \frac{1}{6}, \\
\delta &= \frac{1}{4}, & \beta &= \frac{1}{5}, & \alpha &= \frac{27}{80}, \\
\delta &= \frac{1}{5}, & \beta &= \frac{1}{6}, & \alpha &= \frac{11}{30}
\end{aligned}$$

and so on.

**Remark 2.** If the smoothness parameter  $a_k \equiv a_n$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , then by Theorem 4 we obtain the limit power for alternative  $H_n$  of the goodness-of-fit test  $T_n$  [8]

$$P_{H_n} \{T_n \geq d_n^{(1)}(\alpha)\} \sim 1 - \Phi\left(\lambda_\alpha - \frac{c_0 r(l)}{\sigma_n(\sigma_0)} \int \varphi^2(u) du\right).$$

If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^2 = \sigma_1^2$ , then from inequality (1) we have  $\gamma_1^2 \sigma_0^2 \leq \sigma_1^2 \leq \gamma_1 \sigma_0^2$ ,  $0 < \gamma_1 \leq 1$ . Therefore, for  $\gamma_1 \neq 1$ , goodness-of-fit tests based on  $U_n$  are more powerful than those based on  $T_n$ . Moreover, integrating  $f_{1n}(x)$ , we establish that the alternatives differ from the zero hypothesis by a value of order  $\alpha_n \gamma_n = o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ . Therefore

goodness-of-fit tests based on a difference between empirical distribution functions like for example, tests  $\omega_n^2$  and Kolmogorov-Smirnov type tests cannot differentiate between “singular” hypotheses and the basic one. Hence, by virtue of Theorem 4, for “singular” alternatives the tests based on  $U_n$  are more powerful than those of the type mentioned above.

**Acknowledgement.** This work was supported by the GNSF Grant No. GNSF/ST06/3-039



მათემატიკა

## სიმკვრივის ვოლვერტონ-ვაგნერის შეფასებაზე დაფუძნებული თანხმობის კრიტერიუმის სიმძლავრის შესახებ

ე. ნადარაია\*, პ. ბაბილუა\*\*

\* აკადემიის წევრი, ი. ჯავახიშვილის სახელობის თბილისის სახელმწიფო უნივერსიტეტი

\*\* ი. ჯავახიშვილის სახელობის თბილისის სახელმწიფო უნივერსიტეტი

აგებულია ვოლვერტონ-ვაგნერის სიმკვრივის შეფასებაზე დაფუძნებული თანხმობის კრიტერიუმი. შესწავლილია მისი ძალდებულობის საკითხი და გარკვეული სახის დაახლოებადი ალტერნატივებისათვის შესწავლილია კრიტერიუმის სიმძლავრის ასიმპტოტიკა.

### REFERENCES

1. E. Nadaraya, P. Babilua (2007), Bull. Georg. Natl. Acad. Sci., 175, 1.
2. E. Nadaraya, P. Babilua (2007), Bull. Georg. Natl. Acad. Sci., 175, 2.
3. E. Nadaraya, P. Babilua (2007), Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst., 144.
4. P. Bickel, M. Rosenblatt (1973), Ann. Statist. 1: 1071-1095.
5. E. Надарая (1989), Труды Академии наук Груз.ССР, 99, 1.
6. E. Nadaraya (1989), Nonparametric estimation of probability densities and regression curves. Kluwer Academic Publishers.
7. С.М.Николюский (1969), Приближения функций многих переменных и теоремы вложения. Москва.
8. M. Rosenblatt (1975), Ann. Math. Statist., 3: 1-14.

Received January, 2008