

Mathematics

On Some Goodness-of-Fit Tests Based on Kernel Type Wolverton-Wagner Estimates

Elizbar Nadaraya^{*}, Petre Babilua^{**}, Grigol Sokhadze^{***}

^{*} Academy Member, I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University

^{**} I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University

^{***} A. Tsereteli State University, Kutaisi

ABSTRACT. A goodness-of-fit test is constructed by using a Wolverton-Wagner distribution density estimate. The question as to its consistency is studied. The power asymptotics of the constructed goodness-of-fit test is also studied for certain types of close alternatives. © 2009 Bull. Georg. Natl. Acad. Sci.

Key words: distribution density, goodness-of-fit test, power, consistency, limit distribution.

1. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a sequence of independent, equally distributed random values having a distribution density $f(x)$. Using the sampling X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n , it is required to test the hypothesis

$$H_0: f(x) = f_0(x).$$

Here we consider the test of the hypothesis H_0 , based on the statistics

$$U_n = na_n^{-1} \int (f_n(x) - f_0(x))^2 r(x) dx,$$

where $f_n(x)$ is the recurrent Wolverton-Wagner kernel estimate of the probability density defined by

$$f_n(x) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i K(a_i(x - X_i)),$$

where $\{a_i\}$ is an increasing sequence of positive integers tending to infinity, $r(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ (\mathbb{R} is the set of non-negative, bounded and piecewise-continuous functions on $(-\infty, \infty)$),

$$K(x) \in H = \left\{ h: h(x) \geq 0, \sup_{x \in (-\infty, \infty)} h(x) < \infty, \int h(x) dx = 1, x^2 h(x) \in L_1(-\infty, \infty), \right. \\ \left. h_0(ux) \geq h_0(x) \text{ for all } u \in [0, 1] \text{ and for all } x \in (-\infty, \infty), \right. \\ \left. h_0 = h * h, * - \text{convolution operator} \right\}$$

Notation.

$$L_n = n \int (f_n(x) - Ef_n(x))^2 r(x) dx,$$

$$d_n^2 = 2n^2 \iint f_0^2(x) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i K_0(a_i(x-y)) \right)^2 r(x)r(y) dx dy,$$

$$\theta_n = \frac{1}{na_n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \iint K^2(a_i(x-u)) f_0(u)r(u) du dx,$$

$$\gamma_s(n) = n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^s, \quad s \geq 1, \quad \sigma_n^2(f_0) = a_n^{-1} d_n^2,$$

$$\sigma_0^2 = 2 \int f_0^2(x)r^2(x) dx \int K_0^2(u) du,$$

$$K_0 = K * K, \quad \Delta(f_0) = \gamma_1 \int f_0(x)r(x) dx \int K^2(u) du.$$

E. Nadaraya [1] found limit distribution of functional of U_n using central limit theorem for semimartingales by R. Liptcer and A. Shyryaev [2]. The results in [3] and [4] are defined more exactly and generalized for a multidimensional case below.

The following statement is true.

Theorem 1 ([3], [4]). a) Let $K \in H$ and $f_0(x)$ be bounded. If $n^{-1}a_n \rightarrow 0$ and $a_n^{-s} \gamma_s(n) \rightarrow \gamma_s, s = 1, 2$ ($0 < \gamma_2 < \gamma_1 \leq 1$) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\gamma_1^2 \sigma_0^2 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^2(f_0) \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^2(f_0) \leq \gamma_1 \sigma_0^2 \tag{1}$$

and

$$d_n^{-1}(L_n - EL_n) \xrightarrow{D} N(0,1);$$

b) Let $K \in H$ and $f_0(x) \in F$ (F is the set of densities having bounded derivatives up to second order), the second order derivative of the density $f_0(x)$ being square integrable. If $n^{-1}a_n \rightarrow 0, a_n^{-s} \gamma_s(n) \rightarrow \gamma_s, s = 1, 2,$

$$n^{-1} a_n^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{-2} \right)^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ then}$$

$$a_n^{1/2} \sigma_n^{-1}(f_0)(U_n - \theta_n) \xrightarrow{D} N(0,1);$$

c) Let $K \in H$ and $f_0(x) \in F$, the second derivative of the density $f_0(x)$ being integrable. If to the condition b) for a_n and γ_n we add the condition $a_n^{-1} \gamma_1(n) = \gamma_1 + o(a_n^{-1/2})$, then

$$a_n^{1/2} \sigma_n^{-1}(f_0)(U_n - \Delta(f_0)) \xrightarrow{D} N(0,1),$$

where D denotes convergence in distribution and $N(0,1)$ is a random value having a standard normal distribution $\Phi(x)$.

Remark 1. If we assume that $r(x) \in R \cap L_1$, then the conditions b) and c) on $f_0(x)$ can be replaced by the only requirement that $f_0(x) \in F$.

It turns out that if $a_n = n^\delta$ and some additional condition is imposed on $K(x)$, then we may succeed in defining $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^2(f_0)$.

Therefore the following statement is true.

Lemma. Let $a_n = n^\delta, 0 < \delta < 1, K_0(x)$ have a bounded derivative and $x^2 K_0^{(1)}(x) \in L_1(-\infty, \infty)$. Then we have

$$\sigma_n^2(f_0) \rightarrow \sigma^2(f_0) = 2 \int f_0^2(x) r^2(x) dx \int \left(\int_0^1 u^\delta K_0(u^\delta z) du \right)^2 dz. \tag{2}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. It is not difficult to verify that

$$\sigma_n^2(f_0) = 2 \int f_0^2(x) r(x) dx \int \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{k}{n} \right)^\delta K_0 \left(\left(\frac{k}{n} \right)^\delta z \right) \right)^2 r \left(x - \frac{z}{a_n} \right) dz.$$

Using Euler’s summation formula [5]:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu=n_1}^{n_2} g(a+h\nu) &= \int_{n_1}^{n_2} g(a+hx) dx + \frac{1}{2} g(a+n_1h) + \frac{1}{2} g(a+n_2h) - \\ &- h \int_{n_1}^{n_2} P_1(x) g^{(1)}(a+hx) dx, \quad |P_1(x)| < \frac{1}{2}, \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

we insert $g(x) = x^\delta K_0(x^\delta z)$, $a = 0$, $h = 1/n$, $n_1 = 1$, $n_2 = n$, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{k}{n} \right)^\delta K_0 \left(\left(\frac{k}{n} \right)^\delta z \right) &= \int_{1/n}^1 x^\delta K_0(x^\delta z) dx + \frac{1}{2n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^\delta K_0 \left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^\delta z \right) + \frac{1}{2n} K_0(z) - \\ &- n^{-1} \left[\int_{1/n}^1 P_1(nx) x^{\delta-1} K_0(x^\delta z) dx + \int_{1/n}^1 P_1(nx) x^{2\delta-1} K_0^{(1)}(x^\delta z) z dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, after a few simple estimations, we have

$$\int \left(n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{k}{n} \right)^\delta K_0 \left(\left(\frac{k}{n} \right)^\delta z \right) \right)^2 r \left(x - \frac{z}{a_n} \right) dz = \int \left(\int_{1/n}^1 u^\delta K_0(u^\delta z) du \right)^2 r \left(x - \frac{z}{a_n} \right) dz + O \left(\frac{\ln n}{n} \right).$$

Further, by the Lebesgue theorem on passage to the limit under the integral sign, we can write that

$$\int \left(\int_{1/n}^1 u^\delta K_0(u^\delta z) du \right)^2 r \left(x - \frac{z}{a_n} \right) dz \rightarrow \int \left(\int_0^1 u^\delta K_0(u^\delta z) du \right)^2 r(x) dz$$

at $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $\sigma_n^2(f_0) \rightarrow \sigma^2(f_0)$.

Remark 2. It is obvious that in the conditions b) and c) of Theorem 1, $\sigma_n^2(f_0)$ can be replaced by the value $\sigma^2(f_0)$.

The condition c) of Theorem 1 enables us to construct a test of asymptotic level α , $0 < \alpha < 1$, for checking the hypothesis H_0 according to which $f(x) = f_0(x)$. To this end, we should calculate U_n and repudiate the hypothesis H_0 when

$$U_n \geq \lambda_n(\alpha) = \Delta(f_0) + \varepsilon_\alpha a_n^{-1/2} \sigma(f_0), \tag{3}$$

where ε_α is defined by the equality $\Phi(\varepsilon_\alpha) = 1 - \alpha$.

2. Let us now investigate the asymptotic property of test (3) (i.e. the behavior of the power at *). In the first place, we have to consider the question whether the test is consistent.

Theorem 2. Let $K(x) \in H$, $K_0(x)$ satisfy the conditions of the lemma and $f_0(x)$ the conditions c) of Theorem 1 or $r(x) \in R \cap L_1$. If $2/9 < \delta \leq 1/2$, then

$$\Pi_n(f_1) = P_{H_1} \{U_n \geq \lambda_n(\alpha)\} \rightarrow 1$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. the test defined by (3) is consistent against any alternative $H_1: f(x) = f_1(x)$,

$$\Delta = \int (f_1(x) - f_0(x))^2 r(x) dx > 0.$$

Proof. It is not difficult to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_n(f_1) &= P_{H_1} \left\{ a_n^{1/2} \sigma^{-1}(f_1) (U_n^{(1)} - \Delta(f_1)) \geq \varepsilon_\alpha \frac{\sigma(f_0)}{\sigma(f_1)} + \sigma^{-1}(f_1) (\Delta(f_0) - \Delta(f_1)) a_n^{1/2} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2na_n^{-1/2} \sigma^{-1}(f_1) \int (f_n(x) - f_1(x)) \varphi(x) dx - na_n^{-1/2} \sigma^{-1}(f_0) \int (f_1(x) - f_0(x)) r(x) dx \right\} = \\ &= P_{H_1} \left\{ a_n^{1/2} \sigma^{-1}(f_1) (U_n^{(1)} - \Delta(f_1)) \geq -\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{a_n}} \left(\sigma^{-1}(f_1) \Delta + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{n}\right) + 2\sigma^{-1}(f_1) \int (f_n(x) - f_1(x)) \varphi(x) dx \right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$U_n^{(1)} = na_n^{-1} \int (f_n(x) - f_1(x))^2 r(x) dx, \quad \varphi(x) = (f_1(x) - f_0(x)) r(x).$$

Now let us show that

$$\int (f_n(x) - f_1(x)) \varphi(x) dx \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \int (f_n(x) - f_1(x)) \varphi(x) dx &= B_{n1} + B_{n2}, \\ B_{n1} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j, \quad B_{n2} = \int \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j EK(a_j(x - X_j)) - f_1(x) \right) \varphi(x) dx, \\ \xi_j &= n^{-1} a_j \int [K(a_j(x - X_j)) - EK(a_j(x - X_j))] \varphi(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $E(\cdot)$ is the matrix expectation under H_1 .

By virtue of the assumption as regards $f_1(x)$ and $K(x)$ we obtain

$$B_{n2} = O\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{-2}\right).$$

Therefore

$$B_{n2} = O(n^{-2\delta}), \quad \delta < \frac{1}{2}, \quad B_{n2} = O\left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right), \quad \delta = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{4}$$

Further it can be easily checked that

$$\text{Var} B_{n1} = \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Var} \xi_j \sim n^{-1} \left(\int \varphi^2(x) f_1(x) dx - \left(\int \varphi(x) f_1(x) dx \right)^2 \right)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n E|\xi_n - M\xi_k|^{2+\alpha} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \text{Var}\xi_k \right)^{-1-\alpha/2} \rightarrow 0, \alpha > 0. \tag{5}$$

Hence

$$(\text{Var}B_{n1})^{-1/2} B_{n1} \xrightarrow{D} N(0,1). \tag{6}$$

Also,

$$\int (f_n(x) - f_1(x))\phi(x)dx = \left[\frac{B_{n1}}{\sqrt{\text{Var}B_{n1}}} \right] \sqrt{\text{Var}B_{n1}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{-2} \right).$$

This and (4), (5), (6) imply that

$$\Pi_n(f_1) = P_{H_1} \left\{ a_n^{1/2} \sigma^{-1}(f_1) (U_n^{(1)} - \Delta(f_1)) \geq -\frac{n}{\sqrt{a_n}} (\sigma^{-1}(f_1)\Delta + o_p(1)) \right\}.$$

Since

$$a_n^{1/2} \sigma^{-1}(f_1) (U_n^{(1)} - \Delta(f_1)) \xrightarrow{D} N(0,1)$$

for the hypothesis H_1 and $n/\sqrt{a_n} \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\Pi_n(f_1) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus for any fixed alternative the power of the test based on U_n tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If however the alternative changes with a change of n and approaches the basic hypothesis H_0 , then the power of the test will not necessarily converge to 1. Consider, for example, the sequence of Pitman type alternatives close to the hypothesis H_0

$$H_1 : f_1(x) = f_0(x) + \alpha_n \phi(x) + o(\alpha_n), \alpha_n \downarrow 0, \int \phi(x)dx = 0. \tag{7}$$

Theorem 3. Let $K(x)$ and $K_0(x)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2 and $f_0(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ the conditions c) of Theorem 1. If $a_n = n^\delta$ and for (7) it can be assumed that $\alpha_n = n^{-1/2+\delta/4}$, $2/9 < \delta \leq 1/2$, then

$$P_{H_1} \{U_n \geq \lambda_n(\alpha)\} \rightarrow \gamma(U) = 1 - \Phi \left(\varepsilon_\alpha - \frac{1}{\sigma(f_0)} \int \phi^2(u)r(u)du \right)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. We have

$$P_{H_1} \{U_n \geq \lambda_n(\alpha)\} = P_{H_1} \left\{ d_n^{-1} (L_n - EL_n) \geq \frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{\sigma_n(f_0)} \lambda_n(\alpha) - d_n^{-1} EL_n + \sqrt{a_n} \sigma_n^{-1}(f_0) A_{n1} - \sqrt{a_n} \sigma_n^{-1}(f_0) A_{n2} \right\}, \tag{8}$$

where

$$A_{n1} = 2na_n^{-1} \int (f_n(x) - Ef_n(x))(Ef_n(x) - f_0(x))r(x)dx,$$

$$A_{n2} = na_n^{-1} \int (Ef_n(x) - f_0(x))^2 r(x)dx.$$

By virtue of the Minkowski integral inequality and (1) it is not difficult to establish that

$$d_n^{-1} EL_n = n^{-1} d_n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \int EK^2(a_k(x - X_1))r(x)dx + O(a_n^{-1/2}). \tag{9}$$

Further we have

$$\frac{\gamma_s(n)}{a_n^s} = \frac{1}{1+s\delta} + o(n^{-\delta/2}), \quad s = 1, 2.$$

Therefore (9) readily yields

$$d_n^{-1}EL_n = n^{\delta/2}\sigma_n^{-1}(f_0)\Delta(f_0) + o(a_n^{-\delta/2}) + O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4}\delta}\right), \quad \frac{2}{9} < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (10)$$

Thus

$$\sqrt{a_n}\sigma_n^{-1}(f_0)\lambda_n(\alpha) - d_n^{-1}EL_n = \varepsilon_\alpha \frac{\sigma(f_0)}{\sigma_n(f_0)} + o(a_n^{-\delta/2}) + O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4}\delta}\right) \rightarrow \varepsilon_\alpha. \quad (11)$$

Furthermore,

$$Ef_n(x) - f_0(x) = \alpha_n \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{-2} \int u^2 K(u) du \int (1-t) f_1^{(2)}\left(x - \frac{tu}{a_k}\right) dt. \quad (12)$$

Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{a_n}\sigma_n^{-1}(f_0)A_{n2} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_n(f_0)} \int \varphi^2(x)r(x)dx + R_n, \\ R_n &= O\left(\frac{\alpha_n}{\sqrt{a_n}} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{-2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$R_n = O\left(n^{-\frac{2-9\delta}{4}}\right), \quad \text{if } \frac{2}{9} < \delta < \frac{1}{2}, \quad R_n = n^{-5/8} \ln n, \quad \text{if } \delta = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (13)$$

Now let estimate $\sqrt{a_n}\sigma_n^{-1}(f_0)E|A_{n1}|$. Using (12) and the inequality

$$E|A_{n1}| \leq 2 \frac{n}{a_n} \left\{ n^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 f_1(u) du \left(\int K(a_k(x-u))(Ef_n(x) - f_0(x))dx \right)^2 \right\}^{1/2},$$

which is easy to check, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{a_n}\sigma_n^{-1}(f_0)E|A_{n1}| &\leq R_1(n) + R_2(n), \\ R_1(n) &= O\left(\frac{\sqrt{na_n}}{\sqrt{a_n}}\right) = O(n^{-\delta/2}), \quad R_2(n) = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{na_n}} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{-2}\right), \\ R_2(n) &= O\left(n^{-\frac{1-5\delta}{2}}\right), \quad \text{if } \frac{2}{9} < \delta < \frac{1}{2}, \\ R_2(n) &= n^{-3/4} \ln n, \quad \text{if } \delta = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

After combining relations (11), (13), (14) and taking into account $d_n^{-1}(L_n - EL_n) \xrightarrow{D} N(0,1)$ which follows from the case a) of Theorem 1, from (8) we eventually obtain the assertion of Theorem 3.

The Rosenblatt-Bickel test ([6], [7])

$$T_n \geq \int f_0(x)r(x)dx \int K^2(u)du + a_n^{-1/2} \varepsilon_\alpha \sigma_0, \tag{15}$$

$$T_n = na_n^{-1} \int (f_n(x) - f_0(x))^2 r(x)dx,$$

$$f_n(x) = n^{-1} a_n \sum_{i=1}^n K(a_n(x - X_i)),$$

used for checking the hypothesis H_0 , is well known. The limiting power of this test for the alternative H_1 is equal to

$$\gamma(T) = 1 - \Phi \left(\varepsilon_\alpha - \frac{1}{\sigma_0} \int \varphi^2(u)r(u)du \right),$$

$$\sigma_0^2 = 2 \int f_0^2(x)r^2(x)dx \int K_0^2(u)du.$$

From inequality (1) and relation (2) it follows that

$$\sigma^2(f_0) \leq \gamma_1 \sigma_0^2 < \sigma_0^2, \quad \gamma_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_1(n)}{n^\delta} = \frac{1}{1 + \delta}. \tag{16}$$

Therefore, $\gamma(U) > \gamma(T)$, i.e. the tests based on U_n for the alternative H_1 are more powerful in the limit than the tests based on T_n . Furthermore, it can be easily noticed that (16) can be immediately obtained from

$\left(\int_0^1 u^\delta K_0(u^\delta z) du \right)^2$ by using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the GNSF, Grant No. GNSF/PRES08/3-319.

მათემატიკა

ზოგიერთი თანხმობის კრიტერიუმი, დაფუძნებული სიმკვრივის ვოლვერტონ-ვაგნერის ტიპის გულოვან შეფასებებზე

ე. ნადარაია^{*}, პ. ბაბილუა^{**}, გ. სოხაძე^{***}

^{*} აკადემიის წევრი, ი. ჯავახიშვილის სახ. თბილისის სახელმწიფო უნივერსიტეტი

^{**} ი. ჯავახიშვილის სახ. თბილისის სახელმწიფო უნივერსიტეტი

^{***} ა. წერეთლის სახელმწიფო უნივერსიტეტი, ქუთაისი

აგებულია თანხმობის კრიტერიუმი, დაფუძნებული ვოლვერტონ-ვაგნერის სიმკვრივის შეფასებაზე. შესწავლილია მისი ძალდებულების საკითხი და სიმძლავრის ასიმპტოტიკა გარკვეული ტიპის ალტერნატივებისათვის.

REFERENCES

1. E. Nadaraya (1992), Teoriya Veroyatnostei i ee Primeneniya, **37**, 2: 413-421 (in Russian); English transl.: *Theory Probab. Appl.* 1992, **37**, 2: 383-392.
2. R. Sh. Lipcer, A. N. Shiryaev (1980), Teoriya Veroyatnostei i ee Primeneniya, **25**, 4: 683-703 (in Russian).
3. E. Nadaraya, P. Babilua (2007), Proceedings A. Razmadze Math. Inst., **144**: 67-89.
4. E. Nadaraya, P. Babilua (2007), Bull. Georg. Natl. Acad. Sci., **175**, 1: 40-44.
5. G. Kramer (1975), Matematicheskie metody statistiki, Moscow (in Russian).
6. P. Bickel, M. Rozenblatt (1973), Ann. Statist., **1**: 1071-1095.
7. E. A. Nadaraya (1989), Nonparametric estimation of probability densities and regression curves: Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht.

Received May, 2009