

# On Estimation of the Remainder Term of the Generalized Sampling Series

Zurab Piranashvili

Institute of Cybernetics, Tbilisi

(Presented by Academy Member V. Chavchanidze)

**ABSTRACT.** In the present paper estimates of the remainder term of the generalized sampling series are considered. Their comparisons for the small and large numerical values of the included  $\beta$  parameter are given. © 2009 Bull. Georg. Natl. Acad. Sci.

**Key words:** entire function, random process,  $L^\alpha(\Omega)$ -process.

The generalized sampling formula is given in [1]. The proof of this formula is analogous to the theorem 1 from [2] and is based on the following estimate: if  $f(z)$  is an entire function, which satisfies the condition

$$|f(z)| \leq L_f(1 + |z|^m)e^{\sigma|y|}, \quad z = x + iy, \quad (1)$$

for some non-negative integer  $m$  and the positive real numbers  $L_f$  and  $\sigma$  [2], then for the fixed arbitrary  $z$  and for all sufficiently large positive integer  $n$  we shall have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{p!} \lim_{\zeta \rightarrow z} \frac{d^p}{d\zeta^p} \left( \frac{f(\zeta)}{(ae^{\delta\zeta} + be^{-\delta\zeta}) \sin^{N+1}(\alpha\zeta)} \left( \frac{\sin \beta(\zeta-z)}{\beta(\zeta-z)} \right)^q \right) - \sum_{k=-n}^n \left( \frac{(-1)^k}{\alpha(z - \frac{k\pi}{\alpha})} \right)^{N+1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left\{ \sum_{\tau=0}^N \frac{\varphi_{\tau N}(z; k, q, \alpha, \beta, a, b, \delta)}{(N-\tau)! \alpha^{N-\tau}} \left[ \sum_{\mu=0}^{\tau} \frac{[\alpha(z - \frac{k\pi}{\alpha})]^{N-\mu}}{(\tau-\mu)!} \right] \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \cdot A_{\mu\tau N} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\mu} B_{p\mu j} \left( z - \frac{k\pi}{\alpha} \right)^j \cdot f^{(j)} \left( \frac{k\pi}{\alpha} \right) \right\} \cdot \frac{1}{\left( z - \frac{k\pi}{\alpha} \right)^p} \right| \leq L_f \cdot C_{pqN}(z; a, b, \beta) \cdot \\ & \quad \cdot \frac{e^{-\delta(n+\frac{1}{2})\frac{\pi}{\alpha}}}{\beta^q [(N+1)\alpha - \sigma - q\beta - \delta]} \cdot \left[ \left( \frac{\alpha}{\pi(n+\frac{1}{2})} \right)^{p+q+1} + \left( \frac{\alpha}{\pi(n+\frac{1}{2})} \right)^{p+q+1-m} \right], \quad z \neq \frac{k\pi}{\alpha}, \quad k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The function  $C_{pqN}(z; a, b, \beta) = \frac{2^{p+q+1} e^{q\beta|y|}}{D_0(a,b)} \left( \frac{2}{1-e^{-\pi}} \right)^{N+1}$ , where  $D_0(a,b) = D_0(b,a) = \min\{a,b, |a-b|\}$ , is finite on the arbitrarily bounded domain of the variable  $z$  (when  $\delta > 0$ , then we have “exponential” convergence).

Note that in the right hand side of inequality (3) from [1] (respectively in inequality (5) from [1]) the multiplier  $\frac{1}{\beta^{q \cdot [(N+1)\alpha - \sigma - q\sigma - \delta]}}$  is omitted. The estimate (2) can be obtained if we apply Cauchy's residuals theorem for the integral  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_n} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(ae^{\delta\zeta} + be^{-\delta\zeta}) \sin^{N+1}(\alpha\zeta)} \left( \frac{\sin\beta(\zeta-z)}{\beta(\zeta-z)} \right)^q \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)^{p+1}}$  and estimate this integral, where  $C_n$  is circle  $|\zeta| = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\pi}{\alpha}$ . To obtain the estimate (2) the following inequality is used:

$$\left| \frac{\sin z}{z} \right| \leq \frac{e^{|y|}}{|z|}, \quad z = x + iy. \tag{3}$$

The inequality

$$\left| \frac{\sin z}{z} \right| \leq e^{|y|}, \quad z = x + iy \tag{4}$$

is correct also. The inequality (4) with  $|z| > 1$  is rougher than (3), while with  $|z| < 1$  inequality (4) is softer than (3). If we apply the inequality (4), then instead of (2) we shall obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{p!} \lim_{\zeta \rightarrow z} \frac{d^p}{d\zeta^p} \left( \frac{f(\zeta)}{(ae^{\delta\zeta} + be^{-\delta\zeta}) \sin^{N+1}(\alpha\zeta)} \left( \frac{\sin\beta(\zeta-z)}{\beta(\zeta-z)} \right)^q \right) - \sum_{k=-n}^n \left( \frac{(-1)^k}{\alpha \left( z - \frac{k\pi}{\alpha} \right)} \right)^{N+1} \right. \\ & \cdot \left. \left\{ \sum_{\tau=0}^N \frac{\varphi_{\tau N}(z; k, q, \alpha, \beta, a, b, \delta)}{(N-\tau)! \alpha^{N-\tau}} \left[ \sum_{\mu=0}^{\tau} \frac{\left[ \alpha \left( z - \frac{k\pi}{\alpha} \right) \right]^{N-\mu}}{(\tau-\mu)!} \cdot A_{\mu\tau N} \cdot \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\mu} B_{p\mu j} \left( z - \frac{k\pi}{\alpha} \right)^j \cdot f^{(j)} \left( \frac{k\pi}{\alpha} \right) \right) \right] \right\} \cdot \frac{1}{\left( z - \frac{k\pi}{\alpha} \right)^p} \right| \leq \\ & \leq L_f \cdot C_{pqN}^0(z; a, b, \beta) \cdot \frac{e^{-\delta(n+\frac{1}{2})\frac{\pi}{\alpha}}}{(N+1)\alpha - \sigma - q\beta - \delta} \left[ \left( \frac{\alpha}{\pi(n+\frac{1}{2})} \right)^p + \left( \frac{\alpha}{\pi(n+\frac{1}{2})} \right)^{p-m} \right], \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where  $C_{pqN}^0(z; a, b, \beta) = \frac{2^{p+1} e^{q\beta|y|}}{D_0(a,b)} \left( \frac{2}{1-e^{-\pi}} \right)^{N+1}$ . So we see that the estimate (5) is suitable for small values of parameter  $\beta$ , even at  $\beta = 0$ , however, in this case the estimate (2) makes no sense. When  $\beta \rightarrow 0$  then the right hand side of the inequality (2) converges to infinity, and the right hand side of the inequality (5) converges to the value

$$L_f \cdot C_{pqN}^0(z; a, b, 0) \cdot \frac{e^{-\delta(n+\frac{1}{2})\frac{\pi}{\alpha}}}{(N+1)\alpha - \sigma - \delta} \left[ \left( \frac{\alpha}{\pi(n+\frac{1}{2})} \right)^p + \left( \frac{\alpha}{\pi(n+\frac{1}{2})} \right)^{p-m} \right],$$

where  $C_{pqN}^0(z; a, b, 0) = \frac{2^{p+1}}{D_0(a,b)} \left( \frac{2}{1-e^{-\pi}} \right)^{N+1}$ .

It should be noted that under specific conditions formula (1) from [1] is true in a certain sense for  $L^\alpha(\Omega)$  random proceses also (see [3]). By analogy with the formula (4) from [1], for n-dimensional random field we have

$$\xi(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \prod_{k=1}^n (a_k e^{\delta_k t_k} + b_k e^{-\delta_k t_k}) \cdot \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n = -\infty}^{\infty}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\xi\left(\frac{k_i\pi}{\alpha_i}\right)}{\alpha_i e^{\frac{k_i\pi}{\alpha_i} - \delta_i \frac{k_i\pi}{\alpha_i}} + b_i e^{-\delta_i \frac{k_i\pi}{\alpha_i}}} \cdot \frac{\sin\alpha_i\left(t_i - \frac{k_i\pi}{\alpha_i}\right)}{\alpha_i\left(t_i - \frac{k_i\pi}{\alpha_i}\right)} \cdot \left( \frac{\sin\beta_i\left(t_i - \frac{k_i\pi}{\alpha_i}\right)}{\beta_i\left(t_i - \frac{k_i\pi}{\alpha_i}\right)} \right)^{q_i},$$

$$\text{for every } \alpha_i > \sigma_i, \quad 0 < \beta_i < \frac{\alpha_i - \sigma_i}{q_i},$$

$0 < \delta_i < \alpha_i - \sigma_i - q_i\beta_i$ , where  $q_i$  are fixed nonnegative integers,  $a_i, b_i$  are positive real numbers (when  $q_i = 0$ , then  $\beta_i = 0$ ),  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Definition of  $\sigma_i$  is given in [4].

კიბერნეტიკა

## ანათვლების ერთი განზოგადებული მწკრივის ნაშთითი წევრის შეფასების შესახებ

ზ. ფირანაშვილი

კიბერნეტიკის ინსტიტუტი, თბილისი

(წარმოდგენილია აკადემიკოს ვ. ჭავჭავაძის მიერ)

განხილულია ანათვლების ერთი განზოგადებული მწკრივის ნაშთითი წევრის შეფასებები. მოცემულია მათი შედარებები მათში შემავალი  $\beta$  პარამეტრის დიდი და მცირე რიცხვითი მნიშვნელობების მიხედვით.

### REFERENCES

1. Z. Piranashvili (2004), Bull. Georg. Acad. Sci., **170**, 1: 50-53.
2. Z.A. Piranashvili (1967), Probab. Theor. Appl. **12**, 4: 708-717, M. (in Russian).
3. Tibor K. Pogany (2007), Appl. Mathem. Comput., **187**, 1: 384-394.
4. Z. Piranashvili (2002), Bull. Georg. Acad. Sci., **166**, 2: 251-254.

Received February, 2009